STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (CRC)

In the matter of:

REVIEW PUBLIC INPUT/LINE DRAWING MEETING

Southern California

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2021

11:00 a.m.

Reported by:

Peter Petty

APPEARANCES

COMMISSIONERS

Pedro Toledo, Chair
Jane Andersen, Vice Chair
Isra Ahmad, Commissioner
Linda Akutagawa, Commissioner
Alicia Fernandez, Commissioner
Neal Fornaciari, Commissioner
J. Ray Kennedy, Commissioner
Antonio Le Mons, Commissioner
Sara Sadhwani, Commissioner
Patricia S. Sinay, Commissioner
Derric Taylor, Commissioner
Trena Turner, Commissioner
Angela Vazquez, Commissioner
Russell Yee, Commissioner

STAFF

Alvaro Hernandez, Executive Director Ravindar Singh, Administrative Assistant Anthony Pane, Chief Counsel Fredy Ceja, Communications Director Marcy Kaplan, Outreach Manager

ALSO PRESENT

Kristian Manoff, AV Technical Director/Comment Moderator

LINE DRAWING TEAM

Karin MacDonald, Statewide Database Jaime Clark, Line Drawer Sivan Tratt, HaystaqDNA Andrew Drechsler, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

VRA COUNSEL Strumwasser & Woocher

David Becker

PUBLIC PRESENT

Kristine Scott
Aaruni Thaku
Christian, Keep Long Beach Together Coalition
Nathan Chan
Lewis
Bailey Overstreet

Mike Ai, Equality California Patricia Robert Pullen Miles Juan Gonzalez Jeremy Payne, Equality California Winky Campbell Stephanie Nguyen Laura Tai Julie Corales Tiffany Craft Tara Martin-Milius Natasha Brown Daniel Ichinose, OCCET Allen Parker Stuart Waldman, VICA Unidentified Persons

4

INDEX

	PAGE
Call to Order and Roll Call	6
Los Angeles Line Drawing Input	15
Southern California Line Drawing Input	117
Public Comment	149

PROCEDINGS

2 November 30, 2021 11:00 a.m.

3 CHAIR TOLEDO: Welcome to the California Citizens

4 Redistricting Commission. Today is our public input/line

5 drawing meeting, where we'll be focusing on Los Angeles

6 County, Orange County, and San Diego. With that,

7 | we'll -- Ravi, can you please take role.

8 MS. SINGH: Yes, Chair. Thank you.

9 Commissioner Turner.

1

10 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Here.

11 MS. SINGH: Commissioner Vasquez.

12 COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Here.

13 MS. SINGH: Commissioner Yee.

14 COMMISSIONER YEE: Here.

15 MS. SINGH: Commissioner Ahmad.

16 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Here.

17 MS. SINGH: Commissioner Akutagawa.

18 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Here.

19 MS. SINGH: Commissioner Andersen.

20 VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Here.

21 MS. SINGH: Commissioner Fernandez.

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Presente.

23 MS. SINGH: Commission Fornaciari.

24 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Here.

25 MS. SINGH: Commissioner Kennedy.

1 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: 2 MS. SINGH: Commissioner Le Mons. 3 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Here. MS. SINGH: Commissioner Sadhwani. Commissioner 4 5 Sinay. 6 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Here. 7 MS. SINGH: Commissioner Taylor. COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Present. 8 9 MS. SINGH: And Commissioner Toledo. 10 CHAIR TOLEDO: Present. 11 MS. SINGH: You have a quorum, Chair. 12 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. 13 So today, we'll be focusing on the Assembly, 14 specifically our regional focus is that of South and East 15 Los Angeles working Southward to Orange County and then San Diego. Our focus will be and we'll start off with 16 17 the VRA district in Los Angeles County. Those -- those 18 districts will serve as an anchor. Each one of them 19 certainly will have an anchor of their own, but the 20 district themselves will serve as an anchor from which we 21 will -- we will work through the rest of that area. 22 We've allocated three hours for Los Angeles. 23 need a little bit more maybe, but we will be able to come 24 back if we need to. We've allocated two hours for Orange 25 County and San Diego. We plan to take public comment at

5:30. We do have a lunch break between 2:15 and 3 p.m.

And -- and so we'll start with the VRA districts in Los Angeles. So I'm going to ask Commissioner Fornaciari to -- to -- who's been helping with -- with putting together the framework for today, he and Commissioner Sadhwani who will be joining shortly to -- to give us an overview of the VRA districts with, of course, our VRA

8 council. And so may the line drawers please put up

the -- the map for -- for Los Angeles County.

Okay. So we have Los Angeles County in front of us.

Jaime, can you go over the VRA districts for us once

more?

MS. CLARK: Certainly. One moment, please.

CHAIR TOLEDO: We're actually -- since we have Mr. Becker on the line, maybe Mr. Becker can -- can give an overview of this area for us from a VRA perspective.

MR. BECKER: Sure. What -- what we found is applying the three Gingles preconditions, the first one being that a minority is large enough and geographically compact enough to form a majority in a district, and the second and third being that the minority votes cohesively to prefer particular candidates of choice and that the rest of the voters vote cohesively to oppose those same candidates.

We found these yellow areas are areas of Voting

1 Rights Act concern, and they mainly are in the Eastern 2 part of Los Angeles County working towards the San 3 Bernardino and Riverside County lines and the Northern part of Orange County and -- and the Santa Ana area, 5 which you see down there. Yeah, there you are. 6 And these are all Latino areas, with the exception 7 of the -- I want to make sure I get the name of it right -- the West San Gabriel Valley, WESTSGV district, 8 9 which is an area where Asians meet the first Gingles 10 precondition. This is the only area where Asian voters 11 appear to meet all three Gingles preconditions, but they 12 do so very clearly here in this West San Gabriel Valley 13 area of Los Angeles County. 14 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you very much. Commissioner Sadhwani, do you want to give an overview? I know you've 15 16 been working very -- and -- and Commissioner Yee as well have been working through the -- the VRA committee to --17 18 to think through this area. Is there any -- any framing 19 that you would like to give the Commission at this point? 20 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes, there is. I'm sure 21 that there is. Apologies. I'm running in from my other 22 job. 23 Commissioner Toledo, have -- have -- you went 24 through generally the -- I had the run of show for today.

Would it be helpful if I also went through some of the

1 priorities that were identified yesterday, which -- in 2 which VRA was, of course, the number 1 concern? 3 CHAIR TOLEDO: (Audio interference). Sorry about that. My mic was not off. That's exactly right. So if you would go through the priorities. We just did the run 5 6 of show. We didn't go through the specifics. 7 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Got it. And was that -- was that docu -- was that PowerPoint posted? 8 9 CHAIR TOLEDO: It has been. Yes, it's posted. 10 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: It has been. Very good. 11 Neal, do you by chance have that up? I apologize for my 12 not being entirely prepared here. 13 So there is a document then that should be posted 14 and in it, it -- it kind of gives -- through a run of 15 show for today as proposed, in any case. Of course, our 16 focus here is the Assembly starting in Los Angeles. 17 really want Commissioners to focus here on what are the 18 priorities -- priority changes that you would like to 19 see. 20 Yesterday, we spent a couple of minutes going 21 through, and every Commissioner had an opportunity to 22 share some of their top priorities. I was taking notes. 23 Chief Counsel Pane was taking notes. And what we 24 captured here is -- is in this document.

Almost all Commissioners said that VRA districts

were their -- were their -- were a top priority. So I definitely want to focus us there today and really begin to work out some of the kinks. In particular, there were -- there was a lot of concern about the Gateway cities, that we get those areas right, in addition to the NELA district, which is not technically a VRA district, but we've certainly received a lot of communities of interest feedback on that area.

2.2

I have argued in the past that I think starting in NELA makes a whole lot of sense. It's the central part of -- really of Los Angeles County in many ways. And I think the changes that we might want to incorporate in NELA are going to have an enormous impact potentially on some of the VRA districts there. So I would -- I would push us to think about starting in the NELA area and -- and try and make the kinds of changes you want to see there.

Lawndale and South L.A. was certainly identified as an area. Also, again, non-VRA area. Not necessarily, in any case, a VRA area, but an area of -- of key interest that Commissioners raised. And certainly a lot of interest for the border between Los Angeles and Orange County.

You can see here in the document, I had bolded VRA districts NELA, Lawndale, South L.A., border between

L.A./OC. That's not to suggest that other areas that were mentioned weren't of importance or anything like that, but, for example, the West San Gabriel Valley was identified specifically on the Congressional maps, and today our focus is Assembly.

Simi Valley, Moorpark, San Fernando Valley is more of that Northern portion of Los Angeles, and so I would argue that we should not start there, but instead start -- start in that Southern region because we're going to be working our way further South.

Just to highlight, there were also -- there were also pieces raised around Van Nuys and Sherman Oaks and the POSO area, Malibu and the splits in West Hollywood.

My -- my sense is we should start with the NELA and the VRA districts. NELA is kind of up against all of those VRA districts, and so I think what we -- what we have in that region will set off some ripple effects throughout the rest of the map.

Before we leave today, there's also a -- excuse

me -- a slide for the Southern California priorities as

well. So please take a look at that as we continue to

move further South. Before we leave today, I think

what's really important is that we identify the key

changes that we have all agreed upon, some of that which

we are going to do live here today, but also document a

1 | list of priorities.

The way that we anticipated this -- this process to work was that Jaime and Sivan are key mappers for Los Angeles and the rest of Southern California will go back tomorrow and will be working off-line behind the scenes on whatever our priority list is that we don't get done today.

So to the extent that as Commissioners, if we can clearly articulate and identify what kinds of changes we want to see and have general agreement from all Commissioners that this is a priority that we want Jaime and Sivan to work on, that's a key piece, unlike the visualization process that we were doing earlier on where Commissioners would all give their own personal priorities and then it would lead to maps with all sorts of changes that we didn't necessarily agree -- agree with here.

Here, I think to be efficient with our time, I would ask that we -- that we really think about -- about what it is that we can all agree upon and send Jaime off with that list. And Commissioner Fornaciari and I will try our best to the bullet point those as we did yesterday, and we can review them at the end of the day before we go to public comment.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Excellent.

1 Thank you. 2 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. 3 CHAIR TOLEDO: Anything you would add, Commissioner Yee? 4 COMMISSIONER YEE: Nothing except perhaps some more 5 6 attention to the San Fernando Valley. I know that didn't 7 come up yesterday in people's punch lists, but it has come up in the past. So that's all. 8 9 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. 10 So Commissioner Sadhwani, just thinking about these 11 priorities, are the ones that are -- and I'm -- I'm 12 asking because I'm not clear right now. Are the ones 13 that are bolded the -- the ones that rose to the top? 14 have the VRA districts NELA, Lawndale, South L.A., border 15 between Los Angeles and Orange County. Is that -- is

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that why they're bolded?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes, that's correct. you can see, I identified the Commissioners who mentioned them in their -- in their two minutes of priorities. lot of Commissioners also said, I agree with everything that's been said, so it might -- it might be even higher I did my best to capture it, and my apologies than this. if I didn't get everyone's completely to the tee, but I was -- I was doing the best with -- with the two minutes that -- that people had to share.

1 CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. And I take it the -- the 2 recommendation from the Committee is to -- to start with 3 the VRA districts. Is that correct? COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That's correct. 4 5 correct. 6 CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So we just did a overview of 7 the VRA districts. Thanks to -- to you and Mr. Becker. So with that, we'll go into closed session to review 8 9 our -- our VRA strategy, and then come back -- under the 10 litigation exception, and then come back to -- to the 11 public and -- and provide an update on that. 12 So with that, we will recess to closed session. 13 (Whereupon, a recess was held from 11:13 a.m. 14 until 12:55 p.m.) 15 CHAIR TOLEDO: Welcome back to the California 16 Citizens Redistricting Commission. We are in -- we're 17 coming back from closed session under the pending 18 litigation exception. No actions were taken. We are 19 going to be going on to the Los Angeles region. If we 20 can get the map up. Excellent. We have it. 21 And so we will be starting with the NELA area which 22 abuts a VRA district, or districts, I should say, and 23 does have VRA considerations. With that, we will begin 24 the process of going through the -- the visualization 25 feedback.

1 Is there any Commissioner that has feedback on this area at this point, in terms of the NELA district? 2 3 Commissioner Sadhwani. COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thanks, Chair. Yeah. 4 I'm -- I'm glad we're starting here because I think this 5 6 NELA district, it is right up against all of these VRA 7 districts, and so I think as we continue to think about our VRA considerations in other areas of -- of Los 8 9 Angeles County, I think this is a really great place to 10 start. 11 Certainly throughout the -- the -- this whole year, 12 right, from summer, and certainly in response to the 13 draft maps, we've heard a lot of communities of interest 14 testimony in this region. And so in -- in this area, I -- I would be curious to hear what others are thinking, 15 16 but certainly I wanted to uplift some of the testimony 17 that we've received from Eagle Rock and Glassell Park 18 wanting to be a part of this district. And I -- I'd love 19 to be able to explore options for bringing those into the 20 NELA district. 21 I think that if we were to pursue that, it -- it 22 certainly would put us way overpopulation. 23 district's already somewhat overpopulated, so I think we 24 need to start to explore what would come out. I know in

the past, and I think this was my own -- my own

preference, was keeping Boyle Heights and East L.A. 1 2 together. 3 But I think that there's -- that -- that we had also heard testimony from -- just in the last couple of weeks about the possibility of -- of wanting to decouple 5 6 those -- those two -- two regions. And I think that 7 would be an -- an option to explore, but I'd be curious to hear others' thoughts if we were to move in that 8 9 direction. 10 CHAIR TOLEDO: Any other feedback on this area? 11 Okay. I think I'm hearing that consensus in the room. 12 Commissioner Sadhwani, could you please create a 13 direction on this? 14 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Sure. 15 CHAIR TOLEDO: Direction on this area for us to --16 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Sure, sure. If there's no 17 other conversation, yes. You know, I -- I -- I think for 18 me, what I would like to see is -- is what would happen 19 if we started to move Eagle Rock and Glassell Park into 20 the NELA district, and then what -- you know, perhaps 21 Jaime, I know you've -- you've had hundreds of hours 22 working on this map. I'd be curious to get some of your 23 thoughts on -- on areas that we might want to explore to 24 remove.

Certainly I want to be cautious and conscious of the

```
1
    communities of interest testimony we've received in other
 2
    areas. I think in this -- in this region, you know,
 3
    we're certainly right up against to the East some of
    the -- the COIs that we've heard from the LGBTO+
 4
    communities as we move further South.
 5
 6
         There's been a number of -- of -- of COI inputs
 7
    surrounding school districts and other historic
    communities that are -- are connected through economic --
 8
 9
    their economic and socioeconomics and other access to
10
    services that have been mentioned. So I -- I'd love to
11
    just kind of explore how to -- how to -- what -- what the
12
    impact of -- of making that change would be.
13
         I'll also just raise, you know, just to the -- to
14
    the West -- excuse me, East of this district, we're
15
    obviously up against our one VRA district where we have
16
    an obligation for the Asian-American community. I
    would -- I -- I'd be curious to hear others' thoughts on
17
18
    that district. To me, that's looking pretty good, pretty
    solid. So I would want to make sure that we keep that
19
20
    intact.
21
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So your direction at this
22
    point is to add Eagle Rock to the -- to the NELA.
23
    that correct?
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And -- and Glassell Park.
24
```

CHAIR TOLEDO: And Glassell Park.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And those are both -- both neighborhoods of the City of Los Angeles, and it would make sense to have them included in the NELA district and then possibly removing Boyle Heights, maybe even portions of South -- South -- like, that Southern region of downtown L.A. And -- and I think we'll have to think through how to -- how to take those out, and I -- I'd be curious to hear Jaime's thoughts on some possibilities there.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Jaime, do you have any feedback on that, or any thoughts on -- on the impacts?

MS. CLARK: Yes. Thank you.

So this Southern area of the NELA district is where there's higher population density. So if we were talking about removing Boyle Heights and, you know, some Southern parts of downtown Los Angeles, absolutely there would be room in terms of population for Eagle Rock and Glassell Park.

I do believe you would have to incorporate some other areas as well. And just sort of looking at, of course, city boundaries and just the location of other areas. You know, potentially moving Silver Lake in or other areas sort of on this Northwestern boundary of the NELA district as -- as Commissioner Sadhwani mentioned, sort of to the South and East up against areas that are

currently the Commission is looking at VRA considerations in those areas.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: The inclusion of Silver Lake would make total sense to me but would be really curious to hear what other Commissioners think.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Let's hear from Commissioner Kennedy, Sinay, and then we'll come back.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I think it was fairly early in the process where I was looking at East Los Angeles as potentially a -- a Northern anchor for what I was calling a 710 corridor district. And I do see some -- some linkages between East L.A. and the area to the South.

Now, we've got -- we've got both the 5 corridor district and the Gateway cities district sitting in -- in what I had initially envisioned as a 710 corridor. So I -- I'm -- I guess the -- the most logical at this point would be to see if it helps us at all to put East Los Angeles in the 5 corridor district and be able to rotate any population from there to elsewhere.

As far as downtown, we have heard community of interest testimony suggesting that we look at taking all of downtown or -- or at least part of downtown and joining that to, I guess, it was the 110 corridor district. So you know, that I would be supportive of as

```
1
    long as we're not breaking up too many other communities
 2
    of interest.
 3
         I'm -- I'm -- I would have to say, and I've -- I've
    spoken before, that I think Silver Lake probably should
    be grouped with Hollywood and West Hollywood.
 5
 6
    opening to hearing -- open to hearing others' thoughts,
 7
    but that's my initial take on that. Thank you.
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.
 8
 9
         Commissioner Sinay.
10
         COMMISSIONER SINAY:
                              Thanks. You know, we did
11
    receive a -- a significant amount of input recently
12
    around kind of NELA and -- and -- and some ideas, and it
13
    was -- what I appreciated from the input was the
14
    diversity of players who -- who kind of -- who engaged
15
    showing that there was some consensus among the
    neighbors.
16
17
         And the idea was, as you were saying, kind of East
18
    L.A. being an anchor and going North, you know, El
19
    Sereno, Highland Park, Mount Washington, Cypress Park,
20
    Elysian Valley, which I think -- I believe is all in that
21
    district already, and then adding, as you said, Eagle
22
    Rock and -- and Glassell Park, but also Taylor Yard.
23
         According, you know to the -- to -- to the COIs,
24
    they were kind of looking at the Southern border of
```

Glendale. That -- from the 2 to 5 to the 110 to the 134,

```
1
   but I'm not sure what I meant by 2. Is there a 2 out
 2
    there? Yeah. I'm, like, somewhere along on my notes, I
 3
   messed up, I think.
        MS. CLARK: The 2 freeway runs --
 4
        COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay. so there is a 2
 5
 6
    freeway. Whew. Okay. So anyway, that was kind of the
 7
    notes I took from -- from all the COIs in that area.
 8
        CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.
 9
        Any other comments on this area? So for this
10
    district, the NELA district, Commissioner Turner.
11
         COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah, no. I was going to ask
12
    can we start seeing what those numbers look like if we
13
    can start? Because I heard we're interested in starting
14
    East Los Angeles and going up. We heard Eagle Rock and
15
    Glassell Park, which I'm interested in, but we also heard
16
    perhaps maybe Glassell Park with Hollywood. So if we
17
    start looking at some of the numbers and see how it
18
    begins to change, we can kind of know, you know, what we
19
    can do.
20
         CHAIR TOLEDO: And are we --
21
         COMMISSIONER TURNER: Silver Lake --
22
        CHAIR TOLEDO: -- able to get data on --
23
        COMMISSIONER TURNER: I'm sorry. Silver Lake --
24
         CHAIR TOLEDO: -- on this --
```

COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- would probably work.

1 CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Jaime? MS. CLARK: Yeah. Just -- just a moment while I 2 3 explore those changes, please. So the area that's selected right now is just the 4 neighborhood council areas for Eagle Rock and Glassell 5 6 Park. It is about 56,000 people. And then, again, sort 7 of depending on what areas you are looking to move out of NELA, then this is not enough population to have -- to 8 9 have, like, a equal -- equal-ish change in terms of 10 deviation. 11 CHAIR TOLEDO: How much -- how many people are in 12 the Boys -- in the Boyles area? 13 MS. CLARK: One moment. I can pull that up, but I 14 need to remove this selection and -- and grab that for 15 you. So one moment, please. 16 So the area that's selected, which does have a 17 little bit of population up here, so not quite the Boyle 18 Heights exact neighborhood council boundary, but I can 19 adjust it as needed, of course. But the highlighted area 20 is just shy of 84,000. So it's -- it's 83,915. 21 CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Turner, do you have your 22 hand up? Okay. 23 Commissioner Yee. 24 COMMISSIONER YEE: Just rolling back a bit, I wanted to affirm Commissioner Sadhwani's -- I just wanted to --25

- 1 | Commissioner Sadhwani's question about the WESTSGV VRA
- 2 district, and affirming, yes, I think that looks good.
- 3 Probably want to keep that pretty much the way it is. If
- 4 | we do make these changes to NELA, I would be interested
- 5 | in possibly being able to combine Little Tokyo,
- 6 Chinatown, Koreatown, Thaitown. Thank you.
- 7 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.
- 8 Does the data pic -- Commission Sadhwani.
- 9 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I was just going
- 10 to -- a question for Jaime. I mean, I think in response
- 11 to Commissioner Yee, can you envision a way of doing --
- 12 making -- removing Boyle Heights? It looks like we would
- 13 still need more population if we were going to get Eagle
- 14 Rock into the NELA district.
- 15 If we started taking from downtown Los Angeles, are
- 16 there ways that we could do that that could potentially
- 17 | also respect as many of those AAPI COIs as possible? I
- 18 know you've looked at the AAPI COIs in the past. I --
- 19 \mid I -- I think I put you on the spot at one point asking
- 20 | for this same file, so I -- I know you're familiar with
- 21 those.
- 22 MS. CLARK: I will turn on those COI layers in this
- 23 | area so we can all take a look together. So let me look
- 24 at my long list of layers here.
- 25 Okay. So right now, in blue, turning on Thaitown.

In green, Chinatown. This purple one is Historic 1 2 Filipinotown. In red, I'm going to -- I'm going to clear 3 the selection so it's easier to see. In red, this is Little Tokyo. And, of course, here in pink is Koreatown. 4 5 Thinking about these changes, I think that trying to unify Little Tokyo and Chinatown together with Koreatown 6 7 and Historic Filipinotown potentially triggers, like, a really big redraw of not just sort of these -- these 8 9 districts that we're looking at, but potentially --10 potentially a much larger redraw that I think could 11 potentially impact the South Bay areas, South L.A., 12 potentially Long Beach, just if we're -- mostly -- and --13 and of course, there would potentially be some wiggle 14 room here in the Gateway areas. 15 I think that with a change that is being discussed 16 just for the NELA district in terms of moving areas from 17 the Glendale-based district into L.A., it would certainly 18 be possible to keep -- to still keep Filipinotown and 19 Koreatown together, to keep Little Tokyo and Chinatown 20 together. I don't know that it would be possible to 21 unify all of them just because the population here in the 22 NELA district really is down here South of -- excuse 23 me -- South of the 10, and then also sort of right here. 24 This is really, like, the most densely populated area 25 in -- in this district.

```
1
         CHAIR TOLEDO:
                       Um-hum. Thank you, Jaime.
 2
    Commissioner Sadhwani?
 3
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I was just going to
    ask Commissioner -- so it seems, though -- as though,
    even in our draft maps, we have divided some of those --
 5
 6
    those COIs but kept some together, right? They're --
 7
    they're not all -- all -- not all -- what was there was
    four of them are not all in one district. But perhaps if
 8
 9
    we can do this in a way that continues to maintain
10
    keeping together, I think, Commissioner Yee, did you say
11
    Chinatown and -- and Little Tokyo together?
12
         You know, I -- I think maybe keeping them with Boyle
13
    Heights, if we -- if we end up removing those areas,
14
    could -- could be a reasonable -- a reasonable
15
    compromise. And -- and I was going to ask, you know, I
16
    don't recall in the last -- since the draft maps have
17
    come out, we've gotten a lot of COI testimony from AAPI
18
    communities.
19
         In other parts of this -- the map, I don't
20
    specifically remember a whole lot in these downtown ones,
21
    although it looks like Commissioner Sinay has other --
22
    has -- has -- has -- might have -- have some pieces on
23
    that.
24
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Sinay.
25
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. What we have heard, and
```

it was from the same group of people who had submitted 1 2 kind of this -- this desire to have Boyle Heights and 3 East L.A. kind of be anchors for different districts, not be in the same district, was that -- and this was -- you 5 know, I think it was specifically Koreatown, and -- and they also had called in saying we don't necessarily need 6 7 to be kept all -- all of us together, but don't split us, meaning don't split Koreatown, don't split Little Tokyo, 8 9 because that's what's happened in the past. 10 So they want their community to stay whole and if 11 possible, you know, bring them all together, but not at 12 the expense of -- of this request -- you know, this 13 community request of looking at how can we kind of change 14 the NELA district. I hope that makes sense. I think so. 15 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. So then 16 it seems like that would probably make sense if Eagle 17 Rock, Glassell Park came into NELA, Boyle Heights, and 18 that downtown L.A., including Chinatown, Little Tokyo, 19 potentially gets removed. 20 And then, Jaime, I know that's going to set off a 21 whole lot of other -- other ripples. 2.2 CHAIR TOLEDO: So Commissioner Sadhwani and Ms. 23 Clark, we're still missing about 50,000 people if I -- if 24 we -- where would you -- where would you advise 25 identifying those -- those communities to add into this

district?

MS. CLARK: I don't know if that was for me or not,
and --

CHAIR TOLEDO: For -- for both Commissioner Sadhwani and -- and yourself. Any suggestions on what -- what areas to -- to add into this district? We've heard a little bit about downtown and also some of the challenges around that. Any other suggestions you might have?

MS. CLARK: Actually, a question that I have is if we're talking about keeping Chinatown and Little Tokyo together and then removing them, that again is a lot of the population of this district.

I'm wondering the Commission's thoughts on still keeping those together, but sort of keeping them with the NELA-based district and, you know, and up -- up to you, of course, and just kind of thinking through the population changes that would be required to make something like that happen.

If you were to remove Boyle Heights and then part of downtown L.A., not including -- not including Little

Tokyo, then -- then I think Eagle Rock, Glassell Park,

and then some areas here. Silver Lake potentially would

fit. There also was a question about Taylor Park and

maybe exploring moving areas of Glendale in, and I think

that that -- that would be, you know, up -- up to the

Commission's discretion. 1 2 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. 3 Commissioner Sinay, then Commissioner Sadhwani, and -- and hopefully we'll reach a level of direction so that -- that we can come back with visualizations 5 6 tomorrow. 7 Commissioner Sinay? COMMISSIONER SINAY: No, I was just going to re-8 9 bring up what I -- what I -- I looked up Silver Lake, and 10 most of the COI testimony we've received for Silver Lake 11 is similar to -- is what Commissioner Kennedy said, you 12 know, to -- to keep it with East Hollywood. The -- so my 13 thought was to look at exploring the part that's South of 14 Glendale, kind of that funky triangle to add more. 15 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay. 16 Commissioner Sadhwani? 17 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I -- I would 18 definitely be open to exploring that and/or Silver Lake, 19 right? Like, Silver Lake and Echo Park have a whole lot 20 in common. Eagle Rock and -- and Glendale South -- South 21 of the 134 have a lot in common. So -- so I think either 22 way would -- both could -- could make sense in such a 23 district. CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's -- let's --24 25 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And I get the question is,

1 Chair, do you want to have Jaime start making these 2 changes now and then we'll see where it takes us on -- in 3 the rest of the map? CHAIR TOLEDO: Let -- let's just do it now if --4 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. 5 CHAIR TOLEDO: -- if it -- if it can be done and 6 7 then -- and see if we can solidify this a little bit more because this, I think, will impact the other maps. 8 9 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, exactly. And I -- I 10 think that that's going to set up a whole bunch of 11 changes then that we're going to have to deal with in --12 in other areas. So we could potentially work our way 13 through some of that now, and then if there's -- if it 14 comes to things like deviation being a little bit off, I 15 mean, I think those are the kinds of things Jaime could 16 work on tomorrow. Unless, of course, we make a huge mess 17 of things, but hopefully we're not going to do that. 18 see these as -- as minor refinements. 19 MS. CLARK: I wonder if it would help the Commission 20 to sort of -- like, if I zoom out the map and talk about 21 maybe -- like, because right -- right now, thinking about 22 these changes based on all of your criteria, I would 23 envision this impacting these five districts, the NELA, 24 Glendale, West Side, potentially 110 L.A. and the North of 10 district. 25

So if I could maybe walk through what some of 1 2 those -- what some of those changes would be, and then 3 the Commission could decide if that's something that I should do or, like, that you want to look at and see happen live right now, or if that's something that could 5 6 be done, you know, overnight, basically for -- so if that 7 sounds okay, then I will talk about what some of that could be. So --8 9 CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes, please. 10 MS. CLARK: -- for the -- thank you so much. 11 the NELA district, right now we're discussing removing 12 Boyle Heights and Southern areas of downtown Los Angeles. 13 To make up for that population, moving areas from the 14 Glendale area -- or excuse me -- the Glendale-based 15 district, so that would be moving in Eagle Rock and 16 Glassell Park and then either sort of Southern parts of 17 Glendale or Silver Lake. Then this GLENNLA -- Glendale, 18 North L.A. district -- would be underpopulated. 19 Thinking about other considerations that the 20 Commission has -- or other -- other sort of priorities or 21 wishes that the -- the Commission has expressed in the 22 past, for example, keeping Mulholland as a boundary 23 between San Fernando Valley and the rest of L.A. 24 Then a suggestion or a -- an option that I think 25 would maintain that boundary would be pulling population

from the West Side District into Glendale/L.A. 1 of zooming in to that would be maybe West Hollywood and 3 Beverly Hills. There's also an LGBTO COI in this area the Commission has expressed interest in maintaining. 5 Just putting that out there. Yeah. Then the West Side 6 district would be underpopulated. 7 Here, there would be a decision point, and I would love to hear from the Commission on this whether then to 9 sort of move population into the West Side district from 10 this N10 district. That would be Mid-city, Pico, Mid-11 city West. Previously -- in previous visualizations, 12 when Pico has been with West Side areas, the Commission 13 has expressed dissatisfaction with that. 14 So the other option could be to add Culver City and Palms just by virtue of location into the West Side 15 16 District. Culver City has been an area that the 17 Commission in the past has said -- or has -- has -- it 18 seems like has potentially considered, like, okay to go 19 with the West Side, that other cities in the West Side 20 district -- there's also COI testimony that kind of links 21 Culver City with -- with Venice and Del Ray. 2.2 And then that sort of leaves wiggle room for the 23 Boyle Heights/downtown area that we remove from the --24 from the NELA area. That could go probably just again by

virtue of contiguity with this 110 L.A. district, and

then population could be balanced sort of between these three districts, the NELA, North 10, and 110 L.A.

And I believe that that would -- I -- so I think that it could be complicated to get them all, like, perfectly balanced live. And so that's something, of course, I could do off-line. But right now, just sort of thinking about -- thinking about this area, what the Commission has -- like, the interest of the Commission as you've expressed it in the past and as you're currently expressing it, I think that that -- that is -- that's sort of what this trade would -- or the population trade-offs would look like.

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's very helpful, Jaime. Thank you.

Commissioner Sinay.

an anchor, and you had said putting it with the 110?

Is -- because originally that -- I mean, what the -the -- the COI testimony was -- that we've received was
kind of taking Boyle Heights kind of going to Pico-Union
and then going to South L.A. And I don't know if
that's -- that's breaking up too many -- too many -- you
know, if that works that way or it's better to go the -in the other direction. So I just wanted to check -check that since that was kind of what the community had

asked.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Jaime, do you have any feedback on that?

MS. CLARK: I think that an iteration like that could be possible. I can't off the top of my head say exactly what the population trade-off would be. So just sort of thinking that through, it would be -- is Boyle Heights and then downtown L.A. area to connect with the N10 district, then I think that some of this area, the, like, Mid-City West, maybe Greater Wilshire, would need to also maybe be moved out because, again, these -- the areas that I'm waving my hand right now -- it's like Boyle Heights, downtown L.A., Pico-Union, Mid-city, Pico. These are some of the most densely populated areas in Los Angeles County.

So it would mean -- yeah. I can't -- I can't say right now what the -- what the population trade would be then to balance between the N10 and the 110 L.A. given the other changes that I just sort of discussed.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I was just going to ask -- I believe we've also had COI testimony, though, that would -- that -- that has linked downtown L.A. and in particular the Skid Row area of downtown L.A. with South Central. So I -- I think it can make a lot of

```
1
    sense if -- if that region goes towards that 110 -- what
 2
    is currently that 110 district as well. So I think I
 3
    (indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --
         CHAIR TOLEDO: So -- so I do think -- I hear Jaime's
 4
 5
    concerns about if we start making changes here, we're
 6
    going to have deviation problems throughout the map,
 7
    but -- but that's actually part of the process, right?
    We're going to have -- we're going to -- the refinements
 8
 9
    are going to have impacts across the map and we'll have
10
    to think through that. So maybe if we figure out --
11
    maybe if we started line-drawing here and then see what
12
    those implications are and -- and -- and work through
13
    those as we -- as we go.
14
         So Commissioner Sadhwani, I think you had the
15
    direction to add or to -- you know, to add --
16
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI:
                                Yep.
17
         CHAIR TOLEDO: -- certain sections. Can you
18
    please --
19
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes.
                                       I agree --
20
         CHAIR TOLEDO: -- give that --
21
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So I think --
22
         CHAIR TOLEDO: -- direction?
23
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I -- I think that if we can
24
    start making these changes because also on the priority
25
    list that folks had identified were, you know, the VRA
```

districts further -- further South from here, the Gateway cities.

And I'd want to get a sense of what some of these changes are going to look like, even if they're -- even if they're a little bit choppy right now. And then,

Jaime, if you -- if you feel like if it's feasible or reasonable to -- to do kind of a rough -- a rough refinement of these areas today live, and then we can -- you could always go ahead and do the cleanup tomorrow.

But just so that we have a sense of what we're working with once it comes to re -- renegotiating or rethinking some of the -- if we're going to work on VRA districts, if we're going to work on Gateway communities, if we're going to working in -- in the L.A./OC border, which Commissioners all identified as priorities, I think it would make sense to spend some time now and do these changes so that we can better understand what we have to work with in other parts of L.A. County.

So I think the first change is, yes, if -- if there's agreement from everyone of making this change of Eagle Rock and Glassell Park. I might say for now to -- to do Silver Lake only because it's actually a part of the City of Los Angeles, and then it gets to -- we get to keep the City of Glendale whole. And if people are comfortable with it, perhaps Jaime could explore breaking

```
1
    up Glendale as an option off-line. Would that --
 2
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So --
 3
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Would that be reasonable?
         CHAIR TOLEDO: So in terms of adding these --
 4
    because that's -- that's -- that's a direction, adding
 5
 6
    these two communities. Is everyone in agreement with
 7
    adding these Eagle Rock and Grissel Park (sic)?
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Glassell.
 8
 9
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Glassell. Thank you.
10
         I'm seeing no opposition at this point to exploring
11
    this option, and that's what we're agreeing to. We're
12
    agreeing to explore the option. All right. Let's --
13
    let's add that to -- to -- to this district.
14
        And then further direction, Commissioner Sadhwani?
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I would say for now, and
15
16
    I -- and I hear the -- the back and forth on Silver Lake
17
    versus Glendale. I would say for now is an exploration.
18
    Let's add Silver Lake. And if we're comfortable having
19
    Jaime explore Glendale off-line. I think it also meets
20
    that criteria of not splitting cities where possible
21
    because Glendale is a separate city from the City of Los
22
    Angeles whereas Silver Lake is a neighborhood within the
23
    City of Los Angeles.
24
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Taylor while -- while
25
    we're line-drawing.
```

```
1
         COMMISSIONER TAYLOR:
                                Yeah.
                                       I -- I agree with
 2
    Commissioner Sadhwani. And I think I would -- based on
 3
    COI testimony and -- and transportation hubs, especially
    since we have that 134/2 corridor that Glendale South of
 4
    the 2 is a similar community as -- community of interest
 5
    as Glassell Park.
 6
 7
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I -- I agree with that
 8
 9
    completely, Commissioner Taylor. I mean, do you feel
10
    comfortable with just for today keeping Silver Lake but
11
    then potentially having Jaime explore the -- the South
    Glendale area off-line? Would that be --
12
13
         COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: . Ye -- yes, I do.
14
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay. Perfect.
15
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So we'll capture that.
16
    then any communities to remove, Commissioner Sadhwani?
17
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And -- and then I think the
18
    removal -- and Jaime, you tell me how the mapping system
19
    works. If it's better to now deal with the GLENNLA at
20
    this point or if it's better to deal with the -- like,
21
    removing Boyle Heights and that downtown Los Angeles part
22
    that we --
23
         MS. CLARK: I think that -- you know, for example,
24
    if I was doing this off-line at home, I would probably
25
    deal with the, like, Glendale one and then --
```

1 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Got it. 2 MS. CLARK: -- kind of go from there, personally. 3 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay. So -- so -- so let's do that, and we'll know that we're -- the goal is to get 4 to Boyle Heights and -- and downtown L.A. remove --5 6 removed from the NELA district. 7 MS. CLARK: Right. And -- and with the knowledge that if there are any glaring deviation issues, and 8 9 that's something I can work on off-line --10 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Perfect. 11 MS. CLARK: -- to kind of clean up any --12 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. 13 MS. CLARK: -- anything. 14 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And I think here you had 15 suggested that this would be an opportunity to bring West 16 Hollywood in with Hollywood and Beverly Hills. Is that 17 correct? And -- and I think the nice part about that is 18 that -- is that it is, you know, in conjunction with --19 oh sorry, my lights just went off here -- in conjunction 20 with communities of interest testimony that we've 21 received. 2.2 CHAIR TOLEDO: Just want to hear from other 23 Commissioners as we work through this. Let's see, we 24 have -- Commissioner Taylor, do you have your hand 25 raised?

```
1
         COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I do not. I'll lower it.
 2
    Thank you.
 3
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. Thank you.
         Commissioner Fernandez. And also I'm curious to
 4
    hear from Commissioner Vazquez, too, and Akutagawa and
 5
 6
    others.
 7
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No, I did -- Commissioner
    Sadhwani just brought up one of -- one of my higher
 8
 9
    priorities was West Hollywood to unite that with
10
    Hollywood. So if that's possible, that would be great.
11
    Thank you.
12
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.
13
         Commissioner Akutagawa.
14
         COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Sorry. I want to go
15
    into -- I quess I want to just ask a different question.
    I know that the conversation has been about removing
16
17
    Boyle Heights, but I don't recall hearing anyone say
18
    anything about possibly removing East L.A. instead, and
19
    perhaps looking at pairing East L.A. with the -- with
20
    either the Gateway cities or -- or -- or the 5 corridor
21
    cities. Is that -- is that -- is that something that
22
    would be -- could be an option?
23
         CHAIR TOLEDO: At this point, we're visualizing, so
24
    it -- it certainly could be an option if we're -- if --
25
    if -- if visualization allows. Commissioner La Mons.
```

1 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yeah. I just wanted to 2 support starting with Glendale and -- and potentially 3 being able to add the West Hollywood back in -- well, not back in, but in with the communities to the West. So I 4 like this direction that we're going in. Maybe if we 5 6 could try it and not go off in another direction before 7 we try it, that would be great. CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. 8 9 That's -- that's great. And that's helpful. 10 let's go back to that area and -- and incorporate those 11 communities if we have consensus. 12 MS. CLARK: So this change which would be moving 13 West Hollywood and Beverly Hills into the Glendale-based 14 district, the Glendale-based district would still be 15 underpopulated. It would be almost negative seven 16 percent deviation. If the Commission wishes, I can make 17 this change and, you know, look -- look at it later or, 18 you know, work it out now, whatever is your preference. 19 CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's -- let's hear from 20 Commissioner Sinay. COMMISSIONER SINAY: I do think that -- that change 21 22 I just wanted to remind us that we started with is good. 23 using East L.A. as the anchor for this district and the 24 changes based on significant community of interest input

that we receive from throughout San Diego, and also, you

know, that Glendale should be part -- you know, with the Wilshire District.

And so this is a lot of different COIs, but I don't want to -- I want to just address what Commissioner

Akutagawa said is that we purposely have chosen this -this going North for East -- East L.A. because that's
what the community had asked us to explore. Well, they
didn't ask us to explore. They asked us to do it, but
we're exploring. And then Boyle Heights going East. And
that was part of a full request.

If we do this, Jaime, is it possible -- you had mentioned the Mulholland Drive line, the infamous line, would that help us be able to improve that or we still need to think through other parts for that?

MS. CLARK: For Assembly, the border currently is on Mulholland, so -- and it would not -- it would not impact -- this change would not impact that.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thanks.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. You know, I read COI testimony, one, that said Beverly Hills with this GLENNLA district is not a good -- I mean, completely polar opposite types of COIs.

I did also read COI testimony that it -- while it would break up the San Fernando Valley a little bit,

1 that -- the greater Toluca Lake in Studio City -- City 2 neighborhoods could be and would be also another option potentially that would unite an LGBT COI and as well as 3 including West Hollywood in -- in this district would be 4 5 a way to unite some LGBT COIs based on some of the COI 6 testimony that I read. 7 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Akutagawa. All right. So in this area, we're incorporating --8 9 so at this point, we have highlighted Beverly Hills. 10 MS. CLARK: Yes. And West Hollywood. 11 CHAIR TOLEDO: And West Hollywood. Just looking for 12 consensus from this group. 13 Commissioner Turner? 14 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. I'd like, Chair, if we 15 can give Jaime this direction, we can then see what else 16 is around it and we can always come back to it. But for 17 now, I think it's a good -- I like the direction we're 18 going in. 19 CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So is there con -- is there 20 anyone opposed to moving in this direction? 21 Commissioner Akutagawa. 22 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I -- I would just not like 23 to see Beverly Hills in this. I -- I -- I do -- I -- I 24 think just not -- besides the COI testimony, I mean, they 25 are very -- I mean, Beverly Hills is a very, very

1 affluent community and -- and different economically from 2 the larger GLENNLA district that we're looking at. 3 MS. CLARK: If I may. CHAIR TOLEDO: We can't hear you, Jaime. Maybe 4 5 you're --6 MS. CLARK: So keeping Beverly Hills with the West 7 Side area, that would mean that the GLENNLA-based district would be underpopulated by negative 13.58 8 9 percent. I think that that potentially would -- I think 10 that the trade-off there is that it would be, instead of 11 Beverly Hills, probably having some of this, like, Mid-12 City, which, you know, with -- with Beverly Hills, it was 13 still almost seven percent. So probably the Mid-City, 14 Greater Wilshire, and Koreatown areas going with the 15 Glendale-based district instead. 16 CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Turner. 17 COMMISSIONER TURNER: I was just going back to COI 18 testimony again, flipping through, because there's also 19 COI -- you know, I guess the challenge we have is the , 20 of course, conflicting COI testimony sometimes or kind of 21 frequently. But there's also COI testimony that's not 22 wanting West Hollywood with Hollywood. So because to me, 23 starting with West Hollywood then moving into Beverly 24 Hills, but if we don't go that direction. I don't know. 25 Let me keep reading.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Turner. 1 2 Commissioner Akutagawa. 3 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Thank you. What about Burbank? I -- I know that Burbank is in 4 the East San Fernando Valley. Would that dismant -- that 5 6 has -- okay. I -- I -- I would be interested in just, 7 Jaime, what your thoughts would be on that. MS. CLARK: So moving Burbank in would -- I think 8 9 that -- I think that, ultimately, a -- an impact of 10 moving Burbank in would definitely be crossing Mulholland. If you really didn't want to cross 11 12 Mulholland, then it would -- unless it was, like, a 13 redraw of the whole state and kind of moving things, 14 like, up through Northern California everywhere, then it 15 would probably impact also where the split would be in 16 Eastern Ventura. 17 I am not sure, but it would potentially split the 18 Piru/Oxnard COI here just for population purposes. 19 Again, really not a hundred percent sure about that. 20 then would need to pull area into the Malibu district. 21 This would then either mean, like, Santa Monica, Beverly 22 Hills, et cetera. Then the West Side area would still 23 be -- would then I think be underpopulated, and you would 24 have to maybe move into this, like, Hollywood area 25 that -- it seems like the purpose for this would be to

1 keep West Hollywood and -- and, like, Hollywood, 2 Hollywood Hills area separate, and I think that moving 3 Burbank might do that anyway. CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Jaime. 4 5 Commissioner Kennedy. Thank you, Chair. 6 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: 7 Jaime, if could you just also click -- and I guess you might have to commit the West Hollywood for now and 8 9 back it out later, but I'd like to see what happens if 10 we -- if we had -- if we added both West Hollywood and 11 Silver Lake to the GLENNLA district. Thank you. 12 Okay. So we've gotten much closer to five percent. 13 Thank you. 14 MS. CLARK: And also the -- the NELA district would 15 still be overpopulated, so you would -- yeah -- would 16 still need to sort of trade out population there. 17 CHAIR TOLEDO: And we've just been adding, not 18 subtracting yet. Any direction for subtraction? 19 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Can I jump in? 20 CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah, Commissioner Sadhwani. Sorry. 21 I didn't see your hand. My screen looks a little 22 different. 23 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: No worries. Yeah. 24 I think the direction there was that we were going to do 25 downtown L.A. and Boyle Heights out, but that -- but

1 Jaime's suggestion, like, working in one direction and -and working back around that -- that kind of 3 counterclockwise was -- was better from a mapping perspective. So I think -- I think -- I think that 4 direction is still there. 5 6 I -- I had originally raised my hand, though, around 7 the question of Glendale again. And again, as I said, the Silver Lake/Glendale piece, I could see it going 8 9 different ways. The Glendale to Beverly Hills and in 10 there potentially including Mid-City West and Wilshire, 11 I -- I -- I don't think we've actually gotten that much 12 COI testimony from Glendale. And please, someone let me 13 know if that's not correct. I -- I -- I am not 14 advocating because I've lived in that area. 15 I'll just talk about it a little bit and others 16 hopefully can chime in, too. There's a lar -- large and 17 sizable Armenian population in Glendale. I -- I -- I 18 don't know what their preferences are. We haven't 19 received tons of -- of testimony, from the best of my 20 knowledge. But Little Armenia is in the -- the Hollywood 21 area, actually. So there is an area of Los Angeles 22 that's identified as Little Armenia despite the fact that 23 so many Armenians live in Glendale. 24 It's very close to Thaitown as well. Also in

Glendale, there's a large population -- a sizable

population of Filipino-Americans. And I believe that we had had testimony -- I can't remember exactly where Filipinotown was, if it's still in this district. So I -- I can see -- no, so it's not in there.

So, I mean, I can see connectivity between Glendale and some of these areas potentially. And -- and I think to me, if we started -- if we started here and then later start thinking about making changes up above through the La Crescenta area -- we've talked about this before, North of the 134 freeway, you see a big change in -- in, like, the population density between the -- the Southern parts of Glendale and Northern parts of Glendale.

Going up into La Crescenta and Sunland, it becomes almost more rural in nature as you get closer to the mountains. So I could see if we're going to start making cuts in Glendale that -- that -- that there might be places where it makes sense to do so. But again, I'm -- I don't recall receiving that much COI testimony about Glendale itself. So I think that remains an open question for me.

But I wouldn't be opposed to, you know, continuing in this path of these changes and seeing where it takes us because I -- I think that it can allow us to bring together some of the areas where we have received a lot of COI testimony. For example, the West Hollywood, the

1 Hollywood, further South. We've had a bunch of -- of 2 testimony about school districts, transportation 3 corridors in South L.A., for example, that -- that it might open up for us to bring together. 5 CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So in terms of -- I'm trying 6 to just rough out this district at this point because, as 7 you say, it'll impact all of these other areas. So if we 8 can figure out where -- where to take out some population 9 and give direction in that -- in that regard so that we 10 can start looking at other districts around the area. 11 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Correct. So that's it for 12 I mean, I think keeping Silver Lake for now just as 13 a -- just as a general direction moving forward, pulling 14 in -- you know, keeping Silver Lake with NELA for now. 15 And we can put that on our priority list for Jaime to 16 work on off-line perhaps. 17 CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum. 18 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And then making the change, 19 I think it was Beverly Hills, Mid-City West, maybe 20 Wilshire all going into that GLENNLA district for now. 21 And again, maybe some changes, whether it's the 134 or 22 further up, making maybe one single cut to -- to the City 23 of Glendale if need be, and then seeing what changes 24 that -- that sets off further South in the map in some of

those districts closer to South L.A., working back to

1 pulling in downtown L.A. into the -- what was it, the 110 district? 2 3 CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum. So it looks like NELA is overpopulated by sixteen percent. 4 5 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Right. 6 CHAIR TOLEDO: So it would be taking out at this 7 point some area of downtown and Boy -- Boyles -- Boyles Heights. All right. 8 9 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Boyles Heights. Exactly. 10 CHAIR TOLEDO: Do you have direction on what 11 specifically you'd like to take out so the Commission 12 can -- can explore that? 13 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think specifically 14 downtown L.A. and Boyle Heights. 15 CHAIR TOLEDO: Jaime, can you please highlight those 16 areas? And I believe we have Chinatown and Japantown in 17 this -- in this area as well, and we want to keep those 18 whole. 19 MS. CLARK: I'll turn on the Little Tokyo COI. 20 and then Chinatown is further North in Historic Cultural 21 North Neighborhood Council. 2.2 So Commissioner Sadhwani, if I could please clarify 23 your direction, would it be to keep all of downtown L.A., 24 Southern parts maybe to include -- you know, pre --

previously in this discussion, the Commission expressed

```
1
    interest in keeping Little Tokyo and Chinatown together.
 2
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes.
 3
         MS. CLARK: Okay. Okay.
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That's right.
 4
 5
         MS. CLARK: Thank you.
 6
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Keeping them together.
 7
    there has been COI testimony actually about that Southern
    region of downtown Los Angeles where Skid Row is and
 8
 9
    keeping it with areas further South and East -- West,
10
    excuse me.
11
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Sinay while -- while
12
    we're doing this.
13
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: I just want to make sure
14
    that --- right now there's a little triangle that's going
15
    into Little Tokyo that -- that doesn't -- we don't split
16
    Little Tokyo.
17
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.
18
         Commissioner Turner.
19
         COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. Just for the good of
20
    the conversation, wanting to say that we actually have
21
    over 200 comments in regards to Glendale. We've moved
22
    from beyond that, so I can come back to it later and talk
23
    about that area, but we do have communities of interest
24
    testimony there.
```

CHAIR TOLEDO: Excellent. Thank you.

1 And we'll take more from Glendale if they want, and 2 everywhere else in California. 3 Commissioner Sadhwani, is your hand still up? MS. CLARK: So the area that's highlighted on the 4 map -- and I can definitely sort of clean this up and 5 6 maybe shoot -- I'm looking for sort of major streets here 7 in downtown Los Angeles. Like, I -- I could try and adjust the line to follow Olympic, for example, or 8 9 another larger street. But this highlighted area would 10 change the percent deviation in NELA to 2.7 percent deviation. 11 12 And I'll zoom out so we can see exactly what that 13 would mean. But so with this, that would be 2.7 percent, 14 which would include Eagle Rock, Glassell Park, the 15 Elysian Valley areas, and Silver Lake and would not 16 include Boyle Heights and Southern areas of downtown Los 17 Angeles. 18 I believe, if the Commission wishes, there may be 19 room to move sort of some of the Westlake neighborhood 20 councils out, or, of course, with the precent deviation, 21 it would work to keep them. 2.2 CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Akutagawa. 23 Jaime, can you zoom COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. 24 in on that little weird cone-shaped thing that's -- where

it says Los -- downtown Los Angeles, the (indiscernible)

```
1
    under Historic Cultural Neighborhood Council. I just
 2
    want to see the streets. Okay. Okay.
        MS. CLARK: I -- I wasn't sure what area you're
 3
    talking about, but this is --
 4
        COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. It's --
 5
        MS. CLARK: -- East --
 6
 7
         COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, yeah. That's exactly
    where I'm looking at. Okay. That's not necessarily Skid
 8
 9
    Row. That's more the Arts District up to 7th Street at
10
    the very least. And -- and if that were to be removed,
    what would it do to the deviation? So in other words,
11
12
    can you make the cut off 7th Street?
13
         So then -- so can you -- can you --
14
        MS. CLARK: Right there?
15
        COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- take in -- yeah.
                                                       Right
16
    there. A little bit more there. And -- and actually you
17
    could probably even go up to, like, 6th or 5th Street.
18
    So -- so anything West of Alameda, like, below --
19
    depending on who you ask it -- 5th Street going -- I
20
    quess on my screen going South, if you needed to -- if
21
    you needed to close the deviation some more.
2.2
        MS. CLARK: Thank you so much for that direction.
23
    Currently, so this is the river here and then this is 7th
24
    and the 110.
```

Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA:

1 MS. CLARK: And the Southern boundary here is the 10 2 freeway. I'm just going to --3 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Um-hum. MS. CLARK: -- zoom out. And then all of Boyle 4 5 Heights. Right here is the boundary between Boyle 6 Heights and the City of East Los Angeles. Removing these 7 areas from the NELA district would make the percent deviation of new 1.87 percent. 8 9 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Jaime, if you were to -- to 10 take that up to 6th Street, what would it do to the deviation? 11 12 CHAIR TOLEDO: And Commissioner Sinay while we're 13 waiting for that. 14 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I just wanted to see if she can 15 make the -- the box a little bigger, the -- that shows 16 all the different -- what's changing. Yeah, that box. 17 Thanks. 18 MS. CLARK: I will do that once I select this area. 19 CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. When you're able. 20 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: So I -- I also realize that 21 in looking at this, this -- it does get into some of the 22 downtown financial district. Sorry about that. I quess 23 I shouldn't have said that wholesale. I think if you --24 if you look at Los Angeles Street going West. I'll stop. 25 Yeah. Okay. So -- so Los Angeles Street West,

- 1 | you're getting into some of the -- the financial
- 2 districts. Also Staples Center, is that -- that kind of
- 3 corner. Yeah, right there. That's Staples Center, and
- 4 that whole area that goes from probably, yeah, Los
- 5 Angeles all the way to the 110 is -- is part of -- more
- 6 of a financial and then also now the entertainment area
- 7 because of Staples Center. And it's pretty gentrified.
- 8 Actually, very gentrified --
- 9 CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.
- 10 MS. CLARK: So would you like me --
- 11 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: -- as you saw.
- 12 MS. CLARK: -- to remove that area from the
- 13 | election?
- 14 | COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I think that's a question
- 15 | for others. I think it -- to me, I think it belongs
- 16 better with downtown L.A.
- 17 CHAIR TOLEDO: Any other thoughts on that from the
- 18 | Commission?
- 19 | Commissioner Sadhwani, Commissioner Vazquez after
- 20 that.
- 21 If you're trying to talk, Commissioner Sadhwani, I
- 22 | think you're on mute.
- 23 | COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh. Sorry about that. I --
- 24 | I mean, I could go both ways on that. I -- I think that
- 25 | area is undergoing so much development and it's putting a

1 lot of pressure on historic communities that are in that
2 region.

You know, certainly as you go further down the 110, you're going to -- you're going to cross into USC. I don't know which district exactly USC is falling into at this point in time, but the relationship between all of the development that's going on in this downtown L.A., South L.A. area is a concern for -- I believe, for -- for a lot of the historic communities that -- that have -- that reside in these areas.

And certainly there's been a lot of COI testimony about the economic development and -- and the socioeconomic status of -- of communities throughout this region. So I could see -- I could see making the case for it to stay in downtown L.A. But I could also certainly see the case for making it connect further South.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's hear from Commissioner

Vazquez. Our deviation will be very good. It's a 0.42,

if I'm seeing this correctly, for NELA.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah. I could also go both ways. I think maybe my suggestion would be to keep it as is for now, knowing that we may be able to sort of back off or move that particular, like, slice of downtown L.A. someplace else if it makes more sense down the line.

```
1
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Any other feedback from other
 2
    Commissioners? If not, we're going to lock it in.
 3
         COMMISSIONER TURNER: Can -- can we just pull back
    some so I can see where we are --
 4
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes. Let's pull back --
 5
         COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- Jaime?
 6
 7
        CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Jaime.
        MS. CLARK: Sorry. I (audio interference). I can
 8
 9
    revert it. So based on the change that I just made, the
10
    NELA district now includes Eagle Rock, Glassell Park,
11
    Elysian Valley, Silver Lake, and does not include Boyle
12
    Heights and downtown L.A. South of 6th Street. And the
13
    percent deviation of this district is now .42 percent.
14
         CHAIR TOLEDO: It's a very solid district in terms
15
    of deviation. Any other feedback on this? Commissioner
16
    Sadhwani?
17
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I mean, I definitely like
18
    the direction that we're going with the NELA district.
19
    You know, I -- I -- I certainly hear Commissioners'
20
    concerns about the Silver Lake piece and -- and
21
    potentially Glendale. And I would keep that on our --
22
    our list of take-homes for Jaime for tomorrow just to
23
    explore -- to explore some of what -- what may or may not
24
    be options for -- for those areas. But I -- I like the
25
    look of this. Obviously, it's setting off a bunch of
```

1 ripple effects in other areas that we now need to clean 2 up, but I'd be curious to hear what others are thinking. 3 CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum. Thank you. Commissioner Akutagawa. Commissioner Yee after 4 5 that. 6 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Jaime, can you zoom 7 in again on that Southwest corner, the Westlake South 8 area of the map and -- and that Staples Center area 9 again? I just want to take a look at that again. Okay. 10 Okay. I -- I was just -- my other thought was -- and --11 and I was just -- anyways, it's okay. 12 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. Commissioner Yee. 13 14 COMMISSIONER YEE: I just wanted to push ahead and 15 think about, okay, so when -- where are we going to get 16 population for GLENNLA? And it looks like it basically has to come up from 110 L.A. somehow through N10, right? 17 18 So I'm wondering, Jaime, if you just can -- if you 19 have any -- any imaginations that -- suggestions you can 20 offer right now, maybe you can start thinking about 21 those. 2.2 CHAIR TOLEDO: And I think Commissioner Turner was 23 looking at COI data for that area as well. So I don't 24 know if she has any -- any input on -- on the COI data. 25 Glendale area? Oh. Commissioner Sinay has some -- some

COI information as well. 1 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, earlier you had mentioned 2 3 Culver City and that -- and there's been -- you know, Culver City is an interesting COI when you read through 4 all the different ones. But moving Culver City to --5 6 yeah, moving it up to I guess it would be -- would it be 7 to the N10 that you had mentioned earlier or to the GLENN? Sorry. 8 9 MS. CLARK: So --10 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I couldn't remember exactly 11 what you said. 12 MS. CLARK: -- if we -- if you wish to explore 13 moving into N10, then there would be, like, point 14 contiguity. It's -- it -- it's contiguous with the West 15 Side --16 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. 17 MS. CLARK: -- district. 18 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. Then we would need to --19 MS. CLARK: So we would need to move it --20 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm sorry. 21 MS. CLARK: -- down here. Yeah. 22 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. Oh. And it's at negative. Okay. Perfect. Yeah. So that was --23 24 MS. CLARK: Should we explore --25 COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- kind of -- the --

```
1
        MS. CLARK: -- that now?
 2
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. Depends on what my
 3
    colleagues say. Sorry.
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Can you -- can you remind me what
 4
 5
    the -- what your direction, your proposed direction is?
 6
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: Taking Culver City and moving
 7
    it to the West to the West Side.
        CHAIR TOLEDO: Can you highlight Culver City for us?
 8
 9
        MS. CLARK: And Palms --
10
        CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner --
11
        MS. CLARK: -- neighborhood --
12
        CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Akutagawa, do you have any comment
13
    on that?
14
         COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I -- I mean, I'm -- I'm
15
    reading the testimony. It's -- it's -- it's kind of one
16
    of those, you know, transition kind of -- it could go
17
    either way.
18
        MS. CLARK: So right now, what's highlighted on the
19
   map is Culver City. This change would make Culver City
20
    whole, and the ADWESTSIDE District right now is split at
21
    the 405. And then also including Palms neighborhood,
22
    again just to keep your districts contiguous, this would
23
   make the ADWESTSIDE District 9.69 percent deviation, and
24
    the 110 L.A. still be over population. It would be 13.92
25
   percent deviation.
```

```
1
         Just kind of zooming the map out a little bit.
 2
    the ADWESTSIDE district would need to lose population,
 3
    and also there is opportunity, I think, for there to be,
    you know, oth -- other changes if you wanted to also kind
 4
    of use the N10 district, which right now has a really
 5
 6
    high popu -- or relatively higher population, or
 7
    deviation. It's 3.99 percent. So there, I think, could
    be an opportunity to lessen that and then to sort of
 8
 9
    move -- move population around sort of throughout these
10
    districts.
11
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Any concern with adding --
12
         COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah.
13
         CHAIR TOLEDO: -- Culver City? Commissioner Turner?
14
         COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. Because I think Culver
    City -- there's a lot of testimony that speaks about
15
16
    Culver City being in (audio interference) with West
17
    Adams --
18
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Um-hum.
19
         COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- and Ladera Heights.
20
    I'm wondering, and so that's -- period. And in addition
21
    to that, I'm wondering more about West Mid-City moving
22
    into the area, or maybe up there, yeah. And the
23
    Wilshire -- I'm looking -- I'm looking more to the North
24
    to move over as opposed to some of these areas.
25
    lots of COI testimony about keeping Culver City, Mid-
```

1 City, West Adams, you know, down that -- Crenshaw Quarter 2 and then going on down into those areas, so I'm just looking for other opportunities to not move Culver City 3 out of the COI. 4 CHAIR TOLEDO: So let's look at other areas at this 5 point. And your suggestion, Commissioner Turner, would 6 7 be to --COMMISSIONER TURNER: Mid-City West. 8 9 CHAIR TOLEDO: Can you -- can we get Mid-City West 10 highlighted? 11 MS. CLARK: Yes. And -- and where would you like to 12 move it to? To the Glendale-based district? COMMISSIONER TURNER: GLENNL -- yes. 13 14 MS. CLARK: So this change would make the GLENNLA 15 district's percent deviation negative 1.31 percent. 16 would make the N10 percent deviation negative two -- or 17 excuse me -- negative 8.27 percent deviation. 18 CHAIR TOLEDO: Negative eight. Is everyone com --19 comfortable locking this in? Any concerns about locking 20 this in? Commissioner Sinay, then Sadhwani, and then Akutagawa. No concerns? Okay. Commissioner Sadhwani? 21 2.2 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. No, I don't have any 23 concerns about that. I -- I think, Jaime, when you had 24 kind of walked us through some possibilities in this 25 area, I think you had suggested, you know, to move into

```
1
    GLENNLA the possibility of Beverly Hills, Mid-City West,
 2
    Wilshire in order to populate GLENNLA which then opens up
 3
    opportunities further Southward to keep some of those
    COIs together. Is that -- was that correct?
 4
 5
         MS. CLARK: I apologize. I -- I missed the last --
 6
    or the first part of the last sentence that you said.
 7
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: The first part of the
 8
    last --
 9
         MS. CLARK: So to do what South? Oh, to move the
10
    boundary of N10 Southward?
11
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: But well, yeah. If we moved
12
   Mid-City West, Wilshire, and Beverly Hills into GLENNLA
13
    because right now it's under population. I thought -- I
14
    thought that you had suggested that that might be a way
15
    of kind of moving population so that we can ultimately
16
    get back and reorient this district further down the 110
17
    L.A. that's overpopulated.
18
         MS. CLARK: Yeah, yeah. And right now, again, it's
19
    overpopulated by almost twenty-seven percent.
20
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Um-hum.
21
         MS. CLARK: So --
2.2
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI:
                                So can you walk us through,
23
    like, if we were to go in that direction, what -- can you
24
    just -- what -- I know you did this once before, but
25
    could you -- could you just walk us through that one more
```

1 time? So if we did Mid-City West, Wilshire, Beverly
2 Hills into GLENNLA.

MS. CLARK: Mid-City West, Wilshire, Beverly Hills into L.A. Then moving Beverly Hills out of the West Side district would leave the West Side district underpopulated.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Right.

MS. CLARK: So then we would need to pick up population from somewhere. That could be Palms and Culver City.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Um-hum.

MS. CLARK: Could be -- and -- and, you know, sort of looking really just at these districts here that the Commission's been working on so far. Another option would be to start at, like, Pico, Mid-City, et cetera. And previously in visualizations, the Commission has expressed not wanting Pico and these areas to be with the West Side.

So that kind of would leave Culver City and Palms as part of it. And then -- and then to balance district here in N10, one option could be to try and grab some of this Westlake area and include that with the N10. It could be to include Westlake with N10. I think there is some flexibility here because it's so densely populated that it wouldn't necessarily be a geographically really

1 large change. 2 If you did not want to include Culver City --3 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Well, and it could be -- you know, and this is just something for -- for my colleagues to consider, right? We've talked about this notion 5 6 before of sharing the pain where a -- a community of 7 interest may not be kept together in one set of maps such 8 as this Assembly. 9 Perhaps it can be kept together in a Congressional 10 or Senate map if -- if we -- you know, because I think 11 there has also been testimony about Culver City being 12 kept with the West Side as well. So it could be the case 13 that that perhaps it split from Ladera Heights here but 14 another -- in another map, it's not. 15 CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So let's hear from 16 Commissioner Sinay and Akutagawa. 17 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sir, I'm going to go back to Boyle Heights because in looking at the -- the COI 18 19 testimony, it's very clear that Boyle Heights wants to go 20 East towards Pico -- Pico Rivera. And so I'm just --21 what? West, sorry. One person said East, and ever since 22 then, it's got us all messed up. West. Thank you. 23 Pico Rivera. And I'm just concerned that going South

is -- is -- we're not listening to what the -- that most

likely, the community would want it to stay with East

24

- 1 L.A. if we -- you know, I mean, is there a way for Boyle 2 Heights to -- to go in that direction versus South or --3 or is this another share the pain? CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Akutagawa and then 4 5 Commissioner Taylor. And then we'll see if we can answer 6 that question. 7 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Jaime, could you --CHAIR TOLEDO: And lunchtime is almost -- it's 8 9 almost lunchtime, too, so let's try to -- Commissioner 10 Akutagawa, go ahead. 11 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Just Jaime, could 12 you zoom in on Mid-City West? I just want to see what is 13 included in that area. Can you zoom in more? 14 MS. CLARK: So Mid-City West includes, like, the 15 Grove. This is La Brea. 16 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. 17 MS. CLARK: And then roughly bounded --18 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. 19 MS. CLARK: -- by Olympic in the South and then, you
- 21 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah.

20

know --

- 22 MS. CLARK: -- up against Beverly Hills and West 23 Hollywood --
- 24 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Yeah. So it 25 includes, like, the Beverly Center as well as Cedar Sinai

1 Hospital as well, too, I think, if it's -- yeah. 2 Cienega, or maybe not. Okay. 3 MS. CLARK: Yeah. Cedar Sinai is right here, so it is --4 5 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay. MS. CLARK: -- in -- it is in Mid-City West. 6 7 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Yeah. Because I --I -- yeah. That -- this little area here is --of course 8 9 I'm waving my cursor and you can see it. Okay. I -- I 10 just wanted to get a sense of where we are. 11 Just another question in terms of this GLENNLA. 12 haven't really explored it, but what about going, you 13 know, to the -- to the North part of GLENNLA and looking 14 at, you know, like, some of the mountain communities. 15 read some COI testimony that also spoke to some 16 affinities and alliances between La Canada Flintridge 17 and -- I don't know what's that other city -- that other 18 little space. It's an unincorporated area next to --19 MS. CLARK: La Crescenta. 20 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, La Crescenta. I -- I 21 did see some COI testimony about Glendale and also 22 Burbank, but I -- I -- I understand that we can't move 23 Burbank. But I'm just thinking if we were to go to the North and include La Crescenta and La Canada, then we 24 25 could -- would that -- I know the ripple effects would

1 probably then go East, but would that also then give us 2 more options, or are we blocked in?

I know there's several VRA districts in that -- you know, in that Eastern San Bernardino, L.A. -- Eastern L.A. and -- and San Bernardino/Riverside border. But just the thought in terms of, you know, your perspective on that if we go North for GLENNLA.

MS. CLARK: That question, I think that if we pulled population from that area -- so the very Eastern 210 area -- or excuse me -- Western 210 corridor area, that would I believe -- there's a couple options, of course. But maybe split Victor Valley. Or kind of would need -- because of these areas where there are VRA considerations would need to pull from this Victor Valley-based district. So that, you know, if not Victor Valley itself would need to pull area maybe from Big Bear area.

on the route that you wanted to take in terms of balancing but could either cause really big ripple effects or really big population swaps that, you know, potentially would have San Bernardino and Inyo together, for example. Pulling part of Inyo County or all of Inyo County South potentially would require splitting Antelope Valley and then would impact -- would impact the rest of San Fernando Valley as well.

1 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Jaime. Commissioner 2 Taylor. 3 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes, thank you. And sort of not to leave Commissioner Sadhwani hanging, so when -when -- I think of the share -- share the pain, I think 5 6 that we -- we're drawing district lines consistently 7 throughout the state so we're doing what's best for the entire state, so it's going to -- it might hurt equally 8 9 throughout. 10 I -- I would hate for us to -- to fall into maybe 11 the -- the -- the trap of having to repay a given area or 12 community because we drew another district in a certain way. So I think that we draw them all individually in 13 14 that -- it -- it -- to draw the best maps possible for 15 that given -- for that given area. Thank you. 16 CHAIR TOLEDO: Appreciate that feedback, 17 Commissioner Taylor. 18 So we will be going to lunch now. It's 12 -- 2:20. 19 I -- I'd appreciate it if all the Commissioners -- I know 20 you're already doing that -- would take a look at the 21 maps as -- during your lunch when you have some time 22 after -- after you eat and give consideration of what 23 other changes you'd like to see in the maps. 24 We are hoping to get through Los Angeles and -- by 25 the -- or at least the sections of the Los Angeles that

1 we have outlined in our run of show by the end of the 2 day. So let's -- and getting into San Diego and Orange 3 County. So -- so let's take a lunch and -- and maybe you guys can think through -- look through your testimony and 4 your notes and come back with some direction for the line 5 6 Thank you. So we are in recess for lunch 7 until -- it's a forty-five minute lunch. 8 (Whereupon, a recess was held from 2:21 p.m. 9 until 3:05 p.m.) 10 CHAIR TOLEDO: Welcome back to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission. We're visualizing 11 12 in -- in Los Angeles region. I just want to remind 13 Commissioners that we are trying to get to decision 14 points here. At this point, we have NELA visualized and 15 I am hearing that Jaime is working on -- on trying to --16 to implement some of our vision across the districts. 17 At this point, I'm going to take final direction on 18 this area, and then we will move on to the VRA districts 19 because the VRA districts is where we want to spend some 20 of our time just to make sure that we are -- that the 21 districts reflects our -- our thinking and -- and vision 22 for this area and -- and the COIs that are represented. 23 And if that's the case, then we will -- we will take direction on those areas. 24 25 So any further direction on -- or discussion on the

1 districts that we're at this point? And Jaime, it would 2 be helpful to -- if you could just give us a little bit 3 of -- any further direction that you might need before -so you can work on these during overnight. 4 5 MS. CLARK: Yes. Thank you so much for that I'm going to move the pending changes box away 6 question. 7 just to kind of look at it a little bit better. I think that -- as the Commission's goal is to balance all of 8 9 these districts, I think -- and -- and because the 10 Commission in this meeting and in previous meetings has

touched on all of these areas, I think that I would be comfortable trying some options -- trying some options out for you, keeping in mind some of the discussion that has been had today surrounding these areas, and kind of working with the general framework that is here right now if -- if the Commission is comfortable with that, of

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

course.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes. And is there any other feedback regarding the discussion -- any -- any decision points that we might need to make that would be impacting these areas at this point before we move on to VRA? Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Just -- just food for thought. There is a -- a Jewish community in that Mid-City West Neighborhood Council area, and I -- I think

1 being --2 CHAIR TOLEDO: So --3 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I don't know if it --CHAIR TOLEDO: Just to -- sorry to interrupt. 4 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yea. 5 6 CHAIR TOLEDO: But just to try to stay high level 7 and on the decision points that -- that we had been -we'll come back to -- to minor refinements, but any --8 9 any of the larger issues that we have been looking at in 10 terms of decision points? Commissioner Fernandez, do you 11 have any? COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I -- I don't know if this 12 falls into it. Because it looks like you're possibly 13 14 going to go into the ADWESTSIDE, and I just remember 15 quite a bit of -- of input that we received regarding 16 coastal communities staying together. So it looks like 17 some of this might be broken up. So I'm not sure what 18 our direction is going to be for that, but I just wanted 19 to make sure that I highlighted that because it looks 20 like we -- we may be going into that. 21 CHAIR TOLEDO: Yeah. 22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thanks. 23 CHAIR TOLEDO: And we may need to break up some of 24 these communities in order to implement the vision that 25 we've had. And we -- we don't want to do that if we

don't have to, but we may need to.

All right. With that, let's move on to VRA

District. Commissioner Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I was just going to -- that's fine. I was just going to ask if we need to try to solve the GLENNLA district right now. So thank you.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So -- so we -- Jaime is going to take everything we -- all of the information that we've -- she's gleaned from this conversation and from past history and try to reconcile it all and trying to come up with some options for us for tomorrow that will hopefully help us work these issues through and get us to refinements, or rather for Thursday. So soon. We're going to get them soon and -- and work through them.

But for now, let's move on to the VRA districts as we are trying to work through the VRA districts and into Orange County and hopefully end up in -- in San Diego.

So you know -- actually, Jaime, can you focus us on the VRA district, the map?

And I think this is just a question for -- for the whole Commission. So you can see all of our VRA districts at -- at -- at this point. Any -- any -- is -- is the Commission comfortable with these districts or are there certain refinements that we want to make to these

1 areas? 2 Commissioner Sinay. 3 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Are we sticking to North of L.A. or -- or are we looking at the border as well with 4 5 Orange County? CHAIR TOLEDO: So right now we're looking at the VRA 6 7 districts, and so -- the highlighted VRA districts and staying within the VRA districts, of course, unless we 8 9 need to shift them around. 10 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. CHAIR TOLEDO: But -- but it's the VRA districts. 11 12 They might morph a little, but still it's the yellow VRA 13 districts. 14 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. I -- I think I'm 15 comfortable with them. I still feel that the 85 corridor 16 is high. And -- and I'm curious at some point to look at 17 kind of the 85 corridor going kind of end up Fullerton 18 into the Santa Ana -- you know, just kind of thinking 19 through that piece a little bit, and Le Havre, if there's 20 some way to -- to work that all in other. 21 CHAIR TOLEDO: Are you suggesting connecting Orange 22 County, the Orange area, with --

word Orange County since we're staying in L.A. But yes,

I would like to see if we could, you know, use -- you

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, I didn't want to say the

23

24

1 know, if -- if there is a way to create -- yeah. to work 2 through to Orange County, looking at Le Habra, Brea, 3 Fullerton, you know, or --CHAIR TOLEDO: Placentia. 4 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Placentia. I mean we'd need to 5 6 look at what needs to be looked at, you know, how it 7 needs to work, but that would affect, I think, that 85 corridor and the Santa Ana or whatever the SAA1 is. 8 9 CHAIR TOLEDO: Jaime, do you have any suggestions on 10 this area right here, the La Habra, La Brea, Placentia, 11 Fullerton area on where that -- that might -- where those 12 communities -- and -- and of course, looking at the 13 community of interest testimony as well. 14 MS. CLARK: Yes. Thank you so much, Commissioner Sinay for that feedback and Chair Toledo for your 15 16 question. I think that there would be room to play 17 around with this. It's definitely something that Sivan 18 and I would be happy to do. 19 Specific situations -- or excuse me -- specific 20 suggestions, because this area there is so much overlap, 21 I -- I would want to work through that with Sivan 22 probably who definitely knows a lot more about all the 23 community of interest testimony, et cetera, in Orange 24 County than I do.

But just from a more zoomed out perspective, just

1 looking at the -- looking at the make-up of these 2 districts as they're currently drawn, I think that there 3 is definitely wiggle room probably to, you know, potentially move La Habra, for example, into the 8060 5 corridor. 6 I think that there's probably wiggle room across the 7 Orange County/L.A. border in these areas and potentially between the 60 corridor district and the 5 corridor 8 9 district if the Commission is comfortable with sort of, 10 like, blending some of these areas here in the -- the 11 Whittiers essentially, or maybe having Pico Rivera. 12 So basically off the top of my head, I -- I think 13 that there are options and specific suggestions. I think 14 that, again, because there's just so much overlap with 15 Sivan, then I would want to be able to work through those 16 with her, and would love to hear any specific suggestions 17 from the Commission. 18 CHAIR TOLEDO: So if there are any specific 19 suggestions, it's time to hear them now. Commissioner 20 Akutagawa and Commissioner Sadhwani, if you can -- if you 21 can focus on this area right now. If not, we'll come 22 back to you. Commissioner Akutagawa. 23 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. My -- I -- I 24 quess -- I -- I hear what Commissioner Sinay is asking,

but I also want to just note that COI testimony does

1 speak to specifically the -- the cities of Downey and 2 Norwalk, and I believe Santa Fe Springs is in that mix 3 there, too, La Mirada. Those cities particularly being -- I believe I saw one COI testimony seeing --5 saying that Downey is the Latino Beverly Hills. 6 And -- and so generally speaking, and also seeing 7 some affinities also that mentioned Pico Rivera and Montebello as well, too. Are -- are -- are we talking 8 9 about -- I -- I guess I just want to understand what 10 Commissioner Sinay is asking. Are we talking about 11 breaking this up and creating perhaps another district 12 between the 5 corridor, 85 corr, and 8060 corr? I -- I 13 think I -- I'm just trying to understand what we're doing 14 here now. Thank you. 15 CHAIR TOLEDO: So at this point, we're -- we're 16 exploring the possibility of adding these communities 17 that are connecting Orange County with -- with -- with 18 districts, and if there's a potential to add a 19 different -- another district, then we would explore that 20 possibility, but it's -- it's -- if there's a poss --21 potential. There may or may not be, and that's something 22 that Jaime can work through. Commissioner Sadhwani. 23 24 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Sorry about that.

Sorry. I apologize for being on a couple minutes later

1 here. You know, we received quite a bit of COI testimony 2 for that Senate Ana district. We're looking at that -the area along that border. So I think my -- my sense is 3 that I would be very open to seeing Jaime and Sivan 4 exploring -- you know, totally okay to come into Buena 5 6 Park, La Habra, maybe even parts of Brea. 7 And for the Sant Ana one, you know, we've gotten quite a bit of testimony about it -- currently, it's 8 9 slightly underpopulated, so raising it up into South 10 Fullerton. I believe that, you know, as a VRA district, 11 if we took a look at -- at where populations lie, I think 12 would make a lot of sense. I'm -- I'm sure we can go 13 back to some of the testimony that we've received. 14 were specific streets and such that were given. I don't 15 have them in front of me, but happy to -- happy to look 16 that up for -- for Jaime as some take-home work. Thank 17 you. 18 MS. CLARK: I --19 CHAIR TOLEDO: Jaime, do you have any --20 MS. CLARK: I do have -- yeah, thank you. I do have 21 a clarifying question since we're sort of talking about 22 everything in these areas, which is what are our thoughts 23 on Artesia, Cerritos, La Palma, sort of this whole area 24 right here? Previously, I'd received direction to keep

Artesia and Cerritos separate from other cities in Los

Angeles and wondering -- or yeah, I guess kind of want to take a temperature of -- of that right now.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Sadhwani, is your hand

up?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Well, I never put it down, but I'm happy to give my response to that. You know, I think -- I think the piece about Artesia and Cerritos is that it's a mixed area, and we've definitely gotten a lot of testimony from the South Asian and AMEMSA communities. Artesia/Cerritos is home to Little India. That being said, there's also a sizable Latino community.

It's right in the heart of VRA districts, and so while I would like to do our best to -- to, you know, uphold communities of interest, I think if it -- if it's necessary for them to populate our VRA districts, I -- that is our number 2 priority. And I -- for me, I -- I don't want to set any hard and fast rules about our Artesia and Cerritos if -- if -- if they need to be used from a -- from a VRA standpoint to meet our obligations to communities in that area.

That being said, I -- I certainly want to uplift that we've heard that loud and clear that there are key communities of interest there. But, you know, certainly there's a business district there that -- and services that are serving the South Asian and -- and AMEMSA

1 communities. But VRA is our number 2 priority. 2 CHAIR TOLEDO: So quick question for -- for the 3 Commission is, if it's necessary to break up communities in order to -- to fulfill the VRA compliance requirements 4 or to fulfill the -- to create the districts that we need 5 to do in this area, is everyone comfortable with that? 6 7 And of course, we're going to be getting visualizations. We'll -- we'll get them back and then be 8 9 able to -- to make any refinements. But at this point, 10 do we -- are we okay with giving Jaime the discretion 11 to -- to -- to potentially break up cities and cities at 12 this point. Counties, yes. We're actually -- that's the direction, but -- but cities and little communities here. 13 14 So just think about that as -- as we go through. 15 Commissioner Akutagawa. COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Okay. I -- I --16 17 I'll be honest. I mean, I'm a little bit torn because I 18 think -- you know, I hear what Commissioner Sadhwani is 19 saying. I think, you know, she's right. I mean, we have 20 to take into account what the VRA districts need to -- to 21 have to ensure, you know -- you know, that -- that we 22 meet -- or that, you know, we meet what -- what the VRA 23 requires. 24 With that said, I think what's complicated there is

that, to me, there are ripple effects, particularly

```
1
    Cerritos, Artesia, La Palma, and Buena Park because
 2
    there's the South Asian AMEMSA communities but there's
 3
    also a -- a sizable Korean community that are within the
    La Palma/Buena Park/Fullerton areas, and -- and yet, you
    know, that connection also to La Palma and Buena Park is
 5
 6
    also there, too. So I guess that's where I'm a little
 7
    torn in terms of being able to honor that, but I -- but I
    also agree with what Commissioner Sadhwani is saying.
 8
 9
         I also am -- am -- am curious again. I don't know.
10
    I -- I mean, I -- I'm definitely, you know, okay with, I
11
    think, crossing the county lines. I think -- at the same
12
    time, too, I -- I -- you know, generally speaking,
13
    these Assembly districts are not super bad other than
14
    the -- the Latino CVAP on 85 corr -- corridor one being,
15
    you know, particularly high. But I think we also found
16
    that those are very dense areas as well, too, from a
17
    Latino population perspective.
18
         So my -- I -- I don't know. I -- I -- I'm -- I -- I
19
    think perhaps just giving Jaime the -- the broadest
20
    discretion right now might be the best thing. And -- and
21
    I'm wondering if -- if people are also willing to
22
    restructuring the Carson -- you know, the ADSOUTHLA and
    ADLBC as well, too, as part of this.
23
24
         CHAIR TOLEDO:
                        Thank you.
25
         And then also as part of this discretion --
```

1 discretion to -- to potentially build an additional --2 well, actually there is -- there -- there'd likely -- I 3 mean, I don't think there probably is enough population here for an additional pop -- VRA district. I -- I -- I think that was in our discussion, but -- but explore 5 6 opportunities for that as well. 7 Commissioner Sinay. COMMISSIONER SINAY: I did want to see if Mr. Becker 8 9 was around to discuss this area and to see if we have 10 further VRA analysis in this area. 11 CHAIR TOLEDO: And since we're talking about Orange 12 County, it'd be good to get an update on that as well. 13 MR. BECKER: Yeah. 14 CHAIR TOLEDO: Yes, he's available. 15 I'm here. So I've checked in with Dr. MR. BECKER: 16 Gall. The -- the way the elections were structured under 17 the previous dis -- districting plan means these --18 it's -- it's impossible, given the elections we've 19 analyzed to date, to isolate those areas for racially 20 polarized voting. 21 It does appear that these areas do not have 22 consistent concentrations of Hispanics -- don't have 23 significant concentrations, others much less so. For 24 instance, if memory serves, La Habra has -- has

So I -- I -- I

significant concentrations of Latinos.

believe that these areas very likely still fall under

Voting Rights Act considerations.

But we're -- we're -- we're discussing how to get more refined analysis on this given the -- that -- that the previous district just does not allow us much opportunity to isolate some of these smaller areas from within particular districts. There are other areas where two districts overlap, but where they overlap is on a particular area, so we can isolate those areas a little easier here. Here, that's -- here, that's not the case, so it's a little more -- it's a little more troubling. It's a little more difficult to -- to -- to analyze with absolute precision.

So I think for now, I think it's likely that this Northern Orange County area is -- is an area of -- of Voting Rights Act concerns.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.

Commissioner Fernando.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDO: Yes. And if we've talked about this, I apologize, but the ADSTHLA, Mr. Becker, could you give me some information on that in terms of VRA considerations? Because obviously it's right next to the Gateway and also to the 85 corridor.

MR. BECKER: So we did not find consistently the three Gingles preconditions in that -- in that area of

1 kind of South L.A. So we do not believe the Voting 2 Rights Act requires that a particular district be drawn 3 at particular levels. 4 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. Can we move the map back up to the VRA districts so 5 6 that we can see more of them? So at this point, we're 7 working through the VRA districts, and -- and -- and 8 perhaps we may need to take one at a time. Commissioner 9 Fornaciari. 10 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So I guess based on what 11 Mr. Becker just said about, you know, he -- there's --12 they're working on further analysis in North Orange 13 County, I'm wondering if we want to not have Jaime spend 14 her time looking at a VRA district there at this point 15 un -- until we we've got that further analysis. I -- I 16 don't know. I'm just throwing it out there. 17 CHAIR TOLEDO: Mr. Becker, do you have any feedback 18 on that? MR. BECKER: So I -- I -- I think the advice right 19 20 now is that the Voting Rights Act applies to those areas. 21 I -- I don't know that we're going to find evidence that 22 would reduce the impact of that, because given the 23 existing elections that have been analyzed, those

County, La Habra, Brea, Fullerton and even into that --

areas -- again, we're talking about Northern Orange

24

1 | in L.A. County, Cerritos likely require Voting Rights Act

2 | considerations, so I think it would be -- it would

3 probably be wise to do that. If you don't like where you

4 | are on that, we can try to look at additional evidence,

5 | but I think it's highly likely that that's an area where

Voting Rights Act considerations are going to be

7 | significant.

CHAIR TOLEDO: So with that in mind, we should probably include these in our VRA areas, and if we need to do further refinement later -- if we get evidence, we can always do that.

Commissioner Sadhwani?

ask a question of Jaime, here. I know Jaime, we've gone through numerous visualizations of this region, so I'd really like to be able to lean on your experience there.

I'm just trying to think of how do we then cover places like La Habra and Buena Park? My sense and Fullerton — and I'll look forward to that additional analysis, but my sense is Fullerton is — the covered areas are probably the South Fullerton area, if I had to wager a guess on that.

If we're going to try to have coverage over Buena

Park and La Habra, my sense then is that some of the

population needs to shift downwards or the districts need

to shift further down across the Orange County border.

I'm just wondering if Jaime, you have thoughts about how or where to do that? I'm curious about, for example, maybe, like, some place like Glendora in the East San Gabriel Valley district, if we started removing from places like that and shifting populations somewhat further downward, if that would ultimately lead us to a place of potentially covering some of these areas?

Or alternatively, if we had visualizations from prior weeks that you want to remind of us of, that would be helpful, too.

MS. CLARK: Thank you for that question. In terms of the -- in terms of the West San Gabriel Valley and East San Gabriel Valley districts, those two haven't changed all that much over the course of the visualizations. In terms of just population, I think it would be possible to include, for example, La Habra into the AD60 corridor, and keeping in mind trying to keep communities of interest together in these districts. For example, the Commission has heard a lot about Walnut, Diamond Bar, and Rowland Heights, for example. Keeping those together in the AD60 corridor.

I think that there could be some potential lead changes to the East San Gabriel Valley Southern border with the AD60 corridor district. Two, and I would say

```
1
    also that maybe removing some of these areas, for
 2
    example, into the East San Gabriel Valley district, could
 3
    potentially bring down the Latino CVAP of AD60 corridor,
    so I think that would look at something like potentially
 4
    splitting Montebello and Pico Rivera, moving --
 5
 6
    potentially splitting the Downey, Santa Fe Springs,
 7
    Norwalk COI, and again that would just be for population
    purposes and for Latino CVAP purposes.
 8
 9
         I think that it would not be difficult to move Buena
10
    Park, Artesia, Cerritos areas into L.A. County based
    districts and still maintain that CVAP. I do think that
11
12
    it could end up coming out in the wash, so to speak, in
13
    Long Beach, by splitting Long Beach is just a guess, and
14
    I think that without, like, delving a little bit deeper,
15
    just because Walnut, Diamond Bar, Roland Heights were
16
    sort of out of the mix in these for a while that I don't
17
    have -- I don't have, like, a definitive answer for you
18
    in terms of exactly what they would end up looking like,
19
    but just based on working with the maps so much, that
20
    is -- like, if I were mapping this, that is where I would
21
    start.
22
                        Thank you.
         CHAIR TOLEDO:
23
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you. May I just
24
    follow up on that?
```

Um-hum.

25

CHAIR TOLEDO:

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So I would say, given that, I think that's really helpful, so thank you and puts things into perspective. I would say VRA is our second criteria, so making sure that we cover those areas that need coverage is extraordinarily important. That being said, hearing all of the potential changes that you just mentioned, for me, two things really stand out, which would be priorities for (audio interference). curious to hear where others fall, but maintaining those -- that COI between Hacienda Heights, Diamond Bar, Walnut, et cetera, which we've heard a whole lot about, maintaining that within a VRA district, even if that means that some of the changes that you mentioned on the Southern boundary of East San Gabriel Valley, if that might mean taking Whittier into play, but instead using La Habra there, right? I think that those could be potential things, but

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think that those could be potential things, but keeping that COI together, and then as it relates to Long Beach, I think what we've heard is if we have to cut Long Beach, do so in a way that is consistent with some of the testimony that we've received, and so we have heard from the Long Beach folks about potential areas of where to cut, so I would want to try our best if possible, if we have to cut into Long Beach, to do so in a way that respects some of that communities of interest testimony.

We've heard from them over and over again about keeping them together.

I'm open to the cuts, but doing so in a way that's still respectful of those COIs, so those would be two priorities for me as we continue to make those changes in order to meet VRA obligations.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Sadhwani.

Mr. Becker, I'm just curious. In terms of -- your thoughts in terms of VRA compliance and VRA districts, and the La Habra area, but also even up to Chino Hills, so any thoughts around this point --

MR. BECKER: Yeah, I --

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- and our constraints, because we're constrained by total population and contiguity and such.

MR. BECKER: So although Chino Hills and Yorba Linda were in districts where that included the kind of racially polarized voting and all of the Gingles preconditions that would encompass Voting Rights Act protections, it does appear that the Latino populations in those two areas is relatively small, certainly compared to concentrations we might see in some of the areas to the West of that.

So I'd want to take a look at how the -- I can't really say for sure that a district would either be problematic or fine with the Voting Rights Act. I think

1 if we overlayed the Latino CVAP in those areas, we'll see that the blocks -- block level Latino CVAP is relatively 3 low in Chino Hills and Yorba Linda, whereas in a place like La Habra and perhaps some other areas there, I think 5 areas of Fullerton, perhaps even in Buena Park and -- and 6 Cerritos will see slightly higher concentrations that 7 would implicate the Voting Rights Act. Where if there is an area that was within a Voting 8 9 Rights Act district or should be within a Voting Rights 10 Act district before and is hard to analyze separately, 11 but where there just aren't concentrations of minorities 12 that would be protected, it -- it would likely be -- it 13 would be highly likely to be justifiable to leave those 14 areas out of a Voting Rights Act district. 15 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. All right. So at this 16 point, we're exploring COIs that could potentially --17 that we potentially want to -- to keep together while we 18 make these refinements. 19 Commissioner Akutagawa? 20 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: To Mr. Becker's point, I --21 would it be appropriate to request to see the -- I guess 2.2 the -- the Latino population in these areas? I think --23 I think there's a map layer that would show --24 MR. BECKER: Yeah, I think it's the -- I don't know,

Jaime, if you are -- I don't know who's controlling the

1 screen, but if we can get the Latino CVAP blocks? 2 thank you. Yeah, so as we discussed, the orange areas, 3 the darker the orange, the more intense the concentration and percentage of Latinos in this, and as you can see, as 5 we get into the Western part of Placentia into La Habra, Brea, Southern part of Fullerton for sure, Buena Park, we 6 7 start seeing those concentrations. Yorba Linda and Chino Hills, not very much. 8 9 little bit on the Eastern edge of Chino Hills, which may 10 be given those concentrations could be included in a --11 maybe the PCO district. 12 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. So any -- at this point, 13 we have the COIs that Commissioner Sadhwani mentioned in 14 terms of trying to keep those together if possible while 15 also prioritizing the VRA. Any other areas in these maps 16 or is the silence that I'm seeing indicative of the fact 17 that we are very comfortable with these -- at least the 18 area that is covered under VRA compliance? 19 Seeing no hands raised, I'm taking that we are very 20 comfortable with this area and the addition of La Habra 21 and some of the Fullerton area, Brea, and Placentia area. 2.2 Commissioner Akutagawa? COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I was going to ask about 23 24 whether it made more sense to add Fullerton to the Santa

Ana district, that -- that Southern part of Fullerton,

1 but I think it would boost the Latino CVAP up a little 2 too high, so I -- I won't ask that. I also wanted to 3 perhaps -- I think if I heard Commissioner Sadhwani correctly, I think there's going to be some shifts from 4 AD60 corridor to AD5 corridor to the gateway, and I'm 5 6 just thinking that, for example, whether it's breaking up 7 Long Beach and/or perhaps -- I recall from COI testimony that Lakewood and Hawaiian Gardens also could be a 8 9 comfortable fit with Long Beach, but that would mean 10 breaking up Long Beach to accommodate some of the 11 additional population, but then that would also allow 12 some other shifts. 13 I'm thinking, like, for example, up in the Maywood, 14 the Bell, Bell Gardens area, maybe that could be also 15 shifted to the AD gateway or perhaps the inclusion of 16 Cerritos in Artesia and some combination of this district 17 may also bring down some of the Latino CVAP in a way that 18 may also help even out some of the numbers there as well, 19 too. 20 And then I also was thinking about what she was 21 saying about perhaps pushing up some of that AD60 22 corridor into the East San Gabriel Valley district as 23 well, too, perhaps moving some of -- like, Glendora, I 24 think she mentioned moving that out. That I'm just 25 thinking back to the earlier conversation about GLENNLA

1 and perhaps instead of going South, we go North. 2 With the addition of Glendora to that district, that 3 may also help even out some of the numbers without completely dismantling everything, but Jaime, I think the Commission has just given direction to take our inputs 5 6 and just try to figure out what those options could be. 7 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Akutagawa. Commissioner Kennedy? 8 9 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. 10 I'm seeing that Fullerton does have a -- a potential 11 district line going through it already. My sense from 12 what we've heard from communities of interest in 13 Fullerton, it -- it -- it is a pretty complex place and I 14 think we should not try to -- to treat Fullerton as 15 monolithic. If we need to split it further to -- to 16 really reflect and respect the communities of interest 17 there, I think we need to look at that. Let's not feel 18 obliged to have Fullerton as a single entity as we move 19 through this. Thank you. 20 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. 21 Karin -- or not Karin. 22 Jaime, are you able to highlight the Fullerton area 23 and the divide that Commissioner Kennedy is speaking of?

quess a more specific direction in terms of what area.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: This is Fullerton and without I

24

1 CHAIR TOLEDO: Can you put the Latino CVAP up? 2 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Oh, absolutely. 3 CHAIR TOLEDO: Because that might help us find that divide. 4 And then Commissioner Kennedy, do you have any --5 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Follow up? 6 7 CHAIR TOLEDO: -- follow up with that? 8 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes, thank you. So what my 9 sense is that we've heard that Chapman is a reasonable 10 dividing line North/South, but there's also the Korean 11 community in Northwestern, so it could be that the Korean 12 community in Northwestern Fullerton goes with Buena Park, 13 the Southern part below Chapman goes with the flatlands 14 part of -- of Anaheim, and that the Northeastern part 15 goes with Brea, Placentia, and Yorba Linda in -- in 16 another district. That's what's going through my mind at 17 this point or a potentially useful way of looking at 18 where lines might go in Fullerton right now. Thank you. 19 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. 20 Commissioner Fernandez? 21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. No, I was just 22 looking at some of the input that we received, and it 23 really does get conflicting after a while because you've 24 got some that want Buena Park, Anaheim, Brea, Placentia, 25 Cypress, and then you have some that include Fullerton,

1 so I think whichever way we go, it's going to be 2 difficult try to accommodate all of the communities of 3 interest. So I think Commissioner Kennedy's correct, so 4 5 probably we'll need to maybe break some of these up. 6 CHAIR TOLEDO: So Jaime, what might be helpful is if 7 you highlight the areas that have VRA considerations at this point and that we include them somewhere, and then 9 you can work through it, just so that we have consensus 10 on the VRA areas. So at this point, what I'm hearing is -- and you can 11 12 help me with this. What I'm hearing is Placentia, Brea, 13 La Habra, Southern part of Fullerton, although contiguity 14 may be an issue unless we explore other opportunities. 15 Buena Park, La Palma, Cypress, Artesia, and 16 Cerritos. So if you could highlight those so that we can 17 get them into somewhere? I know it's going to throw off 18 the deviations, but -- but just so that they're 19 highlighted at this point. 20 MS. CLARK: Sure, one second. 21 CHAIR TOLEDO: In -- in the meantime, we'll take 22 feedback from Commissioner Akutagawa. 23 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I -- I think Brea,

if you put the Latino CVAP again, I think it looked like

Brea kind of, like -- I know it makes it kind of weird,

1 but Brea, a little bit like Fullerton, has a section that 2 is not as heavily -- it doesn't have as -- as big as a Latino CVAP there, and maybe -- may require potentially 3 breaking up Brea also and perhaps putting that Northern -- kind of Northern/Eastern portion to the North 5 6 with the Roland Heights, Diamond Bar, La Habra Heights 7 areas, because they may have -- I know that there's some commonalities and I think there's been some COI testimony 8 9 about that also being an area of interest in terms of a 10 COI with some of those areas within Diamond Bar and 11 Roland Heights and Hacienda Heights and Walnut, so. 12 CHAIR TOLEDO: So what I heard from VRA counsel is 13 that we should incorporate all of these areas into our 14 VRA region, and -- from what we offered, and then if 15 there's additional evidence that area shouldn't be 16 included, then we can refine those later. 17 And so at this point, Jaime, if you could highlight 18 these areas and then we will figure out -- at this point, 19 we need to figure out where to put them, right, and we 20 need your help in identifying the best homes for these. 21 Commissioner Sinay and then Fornaciari? 2.2 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I was just going to say, we've 23 gone through different iterations of the work that we're 24 doing, and we cannot go back to visualizing. We really 25 need to move forward. There are areas that we have

1 prioritized that still need work, but in other areas, 2 we've spent a lot of time. Yes, we -- at this point, we have 21-, almost 22,000 engagements, and the truth is, we 3 could probably find a community of interest to back anything we want to do, because I still am a firm 5 6 believer that there's probably over forty million 7 different perspectives on what we're doing. So it's time we follow the criteria, the one, two, 8 9 three, four, five, six, and pull away -- use our -- I 10 hate to put it this way, but use our heads more than our 11 hearts. We got to use our hearts and listen and 12 empathize and all that to create the structures we did 13 and the visualizations we did, but now we need to use our 14 heads and follow the criteria and just be okay with it, 15 and we're not going to be happy with every decision, but 16 we need to find a way to work together and move forward, 17 because we have just spent a heck of a lot of time and 18 moved very -- we didn't -- yeah, we -- what we said we 19 were going to do today is not what we've done. 20 We've gone back to, what about this, what about 21 that. That was visualization. The what-if's are done, 22 so I just ask that we all check ourselves, including 23 myself, and really think through, where does this fall in 24 the six criteria, and are we moving forward? Thank you. 25

CHAIR TOLEDO: That's a good reminder, Commissioner

1 Sinay, and certainly, the VRA is a number 2 criteria, and 2 we are filling out the VRA aspect of this map, so if --Clark, if you could -- are these the areas that are -- I 3 believe there's still a little bit of Cypress and 4 5 Placentia? 6 MS. CLARK: Yeah, I highlighted the areas that were 7 under discussion. This generally is about Chapman. believe -- and Mr. Becker, please correct me if I'm 8 9 wrong, that you had kind of mentioned maybe just the 10 Western part of Placentia. I didn't hear Cypress, but of 11 course happy to look at that, too, and just so the 12 Commission and the public is aware, these cities, the 13 area that's highlighted right now, the total population 14 is about 355,000, and in all of this area, it's about 32 15 percent Latino CVAP. 16 So these areas would need to be added to different districts. There's just not the population or it 17 18 wouldn't meet a VRA requirement, and I guess a question 19 that I have is that is the Commission wanting to adjust 20 some of these potential VRA areas live or is this 21 something that we should go look at home overnight 22 tonight and then come back to you with options on 23 Thursday? 24 CHAIR TOLEDO: So what we need to do today is figure

out exactly what the VRA -- or as much as we can with the

1 information we have available, what the VRA area is, so 2 the questions for Mr. Becker is are there other areas that are not highlighted that should have VRA protection 3 at this point, based on the analysis that we do have? 4 MR. BECKER: A couple of points. I think that 5 6 likely the area from Placentia and Brea, La Habra, 7 Fullerton, Buena Park, Cerritos, Artesia -- is that La Palma? Yeah. Based on the analysis that we have of 8 9 racially polarized voting, that that has fallen in those 10 areas. I think you can make an argument that Yorba Linda 11 and Chino Hills, probably less so. 12 What I also want to be clear about is I'm not 13 suggesting that there is an additional VRA district here. 14 I don't know if the population can sustain that. I think 15 that there's a possibility that these are areas that 16 would be included in VRA districts, in VRA 17 considerations, but ultimately, this is -- the 18 highlighted areas, for instance, are not -- it's a lot of 19 people, but it's not all the way up to an assembly 20 district, and it's only about -- Jaime, I think you said 21 only about a third Latino CVAP if I'm -- if I'm 22 remembering, correctly. 23 So what I'm thinking here is, likely, if the rest of 24 the structure is largely going to remain where it is, and 25

I'm not suggesting changing it, and there's probably not

```
1
    an additional one here. The concentrations in to the
 2
    Northwest of this area are just naturally high in the AD5
    corridor and AD gateway, so there might be ways to add
 3
    this -- certainly, looking at AD60 corridor is an area
 4
 5
    that I would look at pretty closely, and to the Santa Ana
 6
    district.
 7
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Mr. Becker.
         Any additional clarification, Jaime, on the VRA
 8
 9
    areas? Are you comfortable with the guidance that we
10
    have at this point to -- to --
11
         MS. CLARK: Yes, yes I am. I don't --
12
         CHAIR TOLEDO: And are you comfortable taking all
13
    this information and bringing it back to the Commission
14
    in a day or two?
         MS. CLARK: Yeah, absolutely, and we will work with
15
16
    your VRA team on these iterations. Thank you.
17
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. So any last final
    refinements that we might have, small refinements that we
18
19
   might have to give guidance to -- to Jaime as she does
20
              Remembering that the VRA is the second
    her work?
21
    requirement and not all COIs might be -- there is a
22
    possibility that some COIs may have to be split.
23
         Hearing none, it sounds like this --
24
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I do, I do. Sorry.
25
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh, Commissioner Sadhwani --
```

```
1
         COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, and I do, too.
 2
         CHAIR TOLEDO: -- and Akutagawa? Okay, sorry.
 3
    hand didn't appear on my screen, and Turner, too.
         COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Are you going in order?
 4
         CHAIR TOLEDO: So yeah, let's go Akutagawa,
 5
 6
    Sadhwani, and Turner.
 7
         COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: All right. That section
    that's below Buena Park and that triangle between Buena
 8
 9
    Park and Anaheim, I know that there is a little Arabia
10
    COI. Is that part of Anaheim or is that part of Cypress?
    I'm a little unclear.
11
12
         MS. CLARK: That is part of the City of Anaheim.
13
         COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay, because that section
14
    there was -- when you had the Latino CVAP up was also
15
    significantly Latino. That is in a different district
16
    than the Santa Ana district, and I guess I'm just -- I --
17
    I would like to see that also included in what
18
    Commissioner Toledo has asked us to look at. It seems
19
    like that would make sense to also keep in that same
20
    grouping of cities.
21
         And at that point, does it just make sense to also
22
    include the entirety of Fullerton instead of splitting it
23
    and then the entirety of potentially Placentia in -- in
24
    that grouping as well, too, because what you had
25
   highlighted before, I know that the previous highlights
```

did not include enough population, but would that bring 1 2 up the population to a point where it could create an 3 entire district? CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Akutagawa. 4 Commissioner Sadhwani? 5 6 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I just -- I quess 7 I'll reup and echo, yes, Jaime, please work with the VRA Counsel and with Dr. Gall (ph.) on this. I'm not 8 9 entirely sure what we're trying to achieve, here. 10 understanding of the VRA is ensuring that -- that 11 protected communities have the ability to elect the 12 candidates of their choice. It seems to me that there's 13 a weak or questionable piece coming from Counsel about 14 this area. 15 It -- it -- it seems like it's really around La 16 Habra, I would sense, if it's -- I'm just trying to 17 clarify what needs to happen, right? So is it that La 18 Habra needs to be within a VRA district? Is it that -- I 19 mean, it seems fairly vague what it is that we're sending 20 Jaime off to do, and so I suppose, yes, please do work 21 with Counsel. 2.2 I will reup my priority areas of keeping those COIs 23 together of Hacienda Heights, Roland Heights, Diamond 24 Bar, Walnut, as well as maintaining as much of Long Beach 25 as possible in order to do so, but it's not clear to me

1 what it is that we're being advised to do, exactly, but 2 certainly, it seems like La Habra makes sense. 3 Certainly, it seems like South Fullerton can go with the Santa Ana district. Beyond that, I'm not sure what else we need to do. 5 6 Before we move on from Los Angeles, while I have 7 this turn, I just wanted to also lift up Lawndale. is not a VRA district. I don't know if that's going to 9 get impacted by the other changes that we were looking at 10 from NELA and that big whole workaround that we were 11 working on earlier today that Jaime's going to work on 12 tomorrow, but we definitely heard a lot of testimony over 13 the last couple of weeks about keeping Inglewood,

There were school districts, I believe, that -that -- that are connected in that area, so I just wanted to lift that up as -- as a piece. It had also been raised in some of the priority pieces that we had discussed yesterday, so I just wanted to raise that before we moved further South.

Hawthorne, Lennox, and Lawndale, I believe it was, and

someone can correct if I was wrong about that, together.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIR TOLEDO: I appreciate that. Thank you, and Mr. Becker, can you clarify the direction? understanding of Mr. Becker's statements are that those areas need to be under a VRA -- under VRA area, so in a

1 VRA district. Not necessarily in a VRA district but in a 2 protected district. 3 Can you clarify if that's correct, Mr. Becker? MR. BECKER: Yeah. Areas of Latino concentrations 4 appear to be under VRA protections there, as I've 5 6 indicated before. 7 I think, if that doesn't -- I think I've given some specific suggestions as to which areas could be included 8 9 in which districts and the likely fact that there's not 10 another district here. But if the Commissioners want to call a closed 11 12 session, you're welcomed to do that, and I can try to 13 answer with more specificity. 14 CHAIR TOLEDO: I think you're very specific on that. 15 Commissioner Turner? 16 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you. I appreciate 17 Commissioner Sadhwani going back to it. I needed to be 18 reminded as well. 19 And with the changes, currently, the way we have the 20 maps drawn, Cypress is not included with Los Alamitos 21 and --22 CHAIR TOLEDO: Uh-huh. 23 COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- Seal Beach, but we also 24 have a significant amount of COI testimony wanting those

25

areas to be together.

```
So as Jaime is going off considering other things, I
 1
 2
    just wanted to lift that up again.
 3
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. And that would that
 4
    Cypress be connected to --
         COMMISSIONER TURNER: Los Alamitos and Seal Beach.
 5
 6
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you.
 7
         Commissioner Yee?
                                  Going back to Commissioner
 8
         COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.
 9
    Sadhwani's mentions of Lawndale. If Jaime could perhaps
10
   prepare a look at exchanging Lawndale for the Northern
11
    part of Gardena.
12
         I tried to look at COI testimony. I didn't see
    anything that would oppose such a change. I don't know
13
14
    if anyone else remembers anything, but -- so making
15
    Gardena whole, exchanging that for Lawndale, that's about
16
    an even population swap.
17
         CHAIR TOLEDO: It would work for population
18
    purposes --
         COMMISSIONER YEE: I know Gardena wants to be with
19
20
    Torrance. Is it -- Southern Gardena want to be Torrance
21
    and so forth and it would stay that way, but it would
22
    simply add the Northern part of Gardena.
23
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. That's helpful.
24
         Commissioner Turner?
25
         Commissioner Akutagawa?
```



1 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I think I want to 2 go -- back and, again, just on the VRA district, I'm now 3 just a little unclear. We're asking Jaime to go off and do all these things, and now we're adding in the non VRA 4 5 districts. 6 But specifically, I haven't heard, like, absolute 7 clarity. Are we asking Jaime to -- so one, I heard that counsel had -- Mr. Becker had said that we need to 8 9 incorporate in some of the areas along the LA, Orange 10 County boarder because they should be within a VRA district. Okay. So that's clear. 11 12 85 corridor, it was brought up, is -- do we need 13 to -- are we asking for a restructure of that district? 14 Are we also asking for a restructure of the Gateway 15 district? 16 CHAIR TOLEDO: So at this point, what we're asking 17 for is for Jaime to take the information around the VRA 18 districts -- the VRA district input that we received, 19 that's going to have some impacts across the region, and 20 she's going to work through those and bring it back to 21 us, recognizing that any minor change has impact 22 everywhere in the maps surrounding them. 23 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I understand that, but what's the goal that we're trying to achieve here? I think that that's what's unclear.

```
1
         CHAIR TOLEDO:
                       So the goal -- the first goal is to
 2
    achieve VRA compliance so that all areas that require VRA
    protection are protected, and, while at the same time,
 3
    while maintaining the COIs that have been mentioned in
    tact as much as possible, recognizing that we may not
 5
 6
    always be able to do so in order to achieve VRA
 7
    compliance.
 8
         COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA:
                                  Okay.
                                         Thank you.
 9
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Commissioner Yee?
10
         Commissioner Kennedy?
11
         COMMISSIONER KENNEDY:
                                Thank you, Chair.
12
         So for example, if we are asking Jaime to
13
    incorporate La Habra into an existing VRA district
14
    because we don't have sufficient population to create
15
    another VRA district, then we would potentially or
16
    hypothetically be looking at incorporating La Habra into
17
    the AD60 Corridor district.
18
         And then because we have underpopulated districts in
19
    both West and East San Gabriel Valley to the North of it,
20
    looking at where we might take some of the surplus
21
    population that we now have as a result of incorporating
22
    La Habra and shifting that population into the West and
23
    East San Gabriel Valley districts. Is that a reasonable
24
    interpretation of where we're going?
25
         CHAIR TOLEDO: That's very reasonable --
```

1 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay.

CHAIR TOLEDO: -- and that's very accurate. That any -- these changes will have some impact across the VRA areas and the surrounding areas.

And as Commissioner Sinay has mentioned, we've visualized many of these things. We have various iterations. We've had discussion on all of these regions. And Jaime is going to attempt and do her best to try to honor as many of the COIs as she possibly can as she does this. And so we're going to trust Jaime and their staff, and each other, to work through these. And of course, we'll get them back and we'll look at them and refine them as needed with smaller refinements.

Commissioner Sadhwani?

Commissioner Fornaciari?

We're at the VRA districts. It seems like we've given direction to the line drawers in terms of bringing us back.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Perfect. So then I think it's a question for the Commission. Do we want to continue looking at VRA districts? We're looking at the one right now in orange. Do we want to continue and just skip over to Sand Diego and do any clean up on those VRA districts that's in San Diego first, or do you want to work through Orange County working further down, which is

perhaps what I would suggest.

What I seem to recall was that the VRA district in

3

5

6

7

8

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

San Diego, that Chula Vista one, was looking fairly good.
But you all can be the judge of that if we want to lock
that in first before we start making changes throughout
the rest of the Orange County and Downey areas.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Since we've been look --

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Chair?

9 CHAIR TOLEDO: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I had my hand up.

11 CHAIR TOLEDO: Oh, sorry. Commissioner Akutagawa?

12 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Before we move on -- yeah.

13 So I just want come back to what Commissioner Kennedy

14 said about West San Gabriel Valley and East San Gabriel

15 Valley. My understanding is that we were pretty

16 comfortable with the West San Gabriel Valley, so, I

17 guess, I just want to make sure that we heard, like

18 Commissioner Sadhwani said, about Hacienda Heights,

19 Rowland Heights, Diamond Bar and Walnut.

I want to make sure, and I just want to lift up or reiterate, you know, the COI testimony that we've heard quite a bit now from Monterey Park, San Gabriel, Alhambra in particular, as well as, if possible, San Merino, Arcadia, and Temple City, you know, keeping them together.

1 And so as any other ripple effect changes are being made, I just want to just make sure that we try to keep 3 that as in tact as possible, in terms of the being a VRA district as well, too. 4 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. Yes. 5 Commissioner Fornaciari and then Ms. Clark. 7 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I quess I have a 8 question for Ms. Clark. You know, considering the 9 changes we're asking you to look at in North Orange 10 County, is it worth, at this point, trying to go through the rest of the districts in South Orange County, at this 12 point in time. Or, I mean, how far do you see the 13 impacts rippling down? Or do you even have any idea at 14 this point what that might look like? 15 MS. CLARK: Thank you for that question, 16 Commissioner Fornaciari. I think that -- and again, this 17 is kind of Sivan's area, but I think that, from a zoomed 18 out view, I think it would be possible to more or less 19 kind of maintain some of the changes mostly to the Orange 20 County-based districts. Just in thinking about where 21 your other areas are that have VRA considerations and 22 some of the wishes that you have that you've expressed in 23 terms of the structure of some of the districts. 24 think that they could, kind of, mostly be, almost like a 25 clock or something, in terms of those changes.

2

6

1 And I know that Sivan might be able to guide you 2 through this a little bit more before you move to 3 Southern California. So that Sivan can be working off of these exact same maps that I am, I would need to just 4 5 quickly pause to export our files, and the she could load 6 that up. So if I may, then perhaps the Commission could 7 discuss what you would like to do next while I kind of pause here to send these files to Sivan. 8 9 CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you. So we do need to take a 10 break to be able to get Sivan if we're going to move on 11 to Orange County and San Diego. 12 But in the meantime, let's just take Commissioner 13 Sinay's question or a comments and then give Sivan an 14 opportunity to be able to get her screen up and prepare 15 for the next round of visualizations. 16 Commissioner Sinay? 17 COMMISSIONER SINAY: So I'm feeling the need to 18 continue to look at the VRA districts to the East before 19 we go any part of Orange County or San Diego because all 20 of that informs, kind of, the work that needs to be done. 21 And I know that our agenda didn't have it set up that 22 way, but I'm just feeling a little anxious that if we 23 don't start here we may need to -- the work we do in 24 other places may be for naught.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Which areas are you speaking of in

specific?

2 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Imperial and San Bernardino 3 VRAs.

There's a lot of VRA districts here that really define what we can and can't do. And so I would like to see us, you know, kind of like we did with LA and Orange County, say, okay, we -- this VRA district is looking good. The structure is good. There might be some cleaning up to do. But that allows us to then know what we have to work with to fix what some of the communities have asked.

I think a big one is -- well, they're all kind of big, but, you know, just that whole conversation around Coachella Valley.

But anyway, I just think that we need to stay focused on VRAs.

CHAIR TOLEDO: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay.

Any thoughts from the Commission? Sivan will be covering both of those areas, so she covers both of those areas, so she's able to do either. But I do know that the schedule is put out, and I know some Commissioners have been working through that schedule for preparation. So I just want make sure that we are all comfortable with the direction -- the schedule as given, or shifting at this point.

```
So I'm curious if anyone is opposed to moving onto
 1
 2
    Imperial (sic) Empire -- Inland Empire. Sorry. Long
 3
         Sorry. Inland Empire. Or the plan has been to go
    to Orange County then to San Diego, so I'm just trying to
    get a sense of where we should direct Sivan to and the
 5
 6
    mappers to.
 7
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: It seems reasonable to me to
    do the VRA districts. I think that had been a top
 8
 9
    priority to everyone, so it would seem reasonable to me
10
    to make that shift.
11
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Is everyone comfortable with that;
12
    that'd we continue on with the -- just the VRA areas in
13
    the Inland Empire?
14
         UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
         CHAIR TOLEDO: Okay. So we'll transition to Sivan.
15
16
    We're going to take a five minute break. We're going to
17
    transition to Sivan. And then after the break, I'm going
18
    to ask Commissioner Turner to -- I have to take a call,
19
    and so Commissioner Turner will take over as chair as I
20
    am not able to get through to our vice chair at this
21
    point. And so she'll take over as chair while I'm out.
22
    Thank you.
23
              (Whereupon, a recess was held from 4:11 p.m.
24
              until 4:41 p.m.)
         TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Well, welcome back.
25
                                                       Thank
```

- you for hanging in there with us. I'm Commissioner
 Turner, and I am your stand-in chair for this next
- 3 portion.
- 4 And we have moved now to the Southern California
- 5 Inland Empire, and we will be looking at the VRA
- 6 districts there. And what we will do, we'll start with
- 7 | just kind of a general overview of the VRA districts in
- 8 this area.
- 9 So Jaime or Sivan, either of you, if you'll take us
- 10 through these, or Mr. Becker. Who's going to lead us
- 11 | through?
- 12 MR. DRECHSLER: I think if we want to start with
- 13 Sivan, Chair -- if we want to just start with Sivan, she
- 14 can talk about these a little bit, and then we can have
- 15 Mr. Becker weigh in as needed.
- 16 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.
- Okay. Sivan, we're ready for you.
- 18 MS. TRATT: All right. So just looking in the kind
- 19 of Inland Empire regions, starting with PCO, currently at
- 20 | a negative 3.71 deviation, and Latino CVAP of 57.91.
- 21 Do you want me to give more description than that,
- 22 or just kind of moving through them?
- 23 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: I think we can move through
- 24 | with that because you've given --
- 25 MS. TRATT: Okay.

1 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: -- the kind of outlined 2 borders of what was in those areas before, so --3 MS. TRATT: Yeah. Exactly. TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: -- if that feels okay for 4 5 that other Commissioners. Okay. MS. TRATT: Perfect. 6 7 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Yep. That sounds good. MS. TRATT: All right. And then RCFR, which 8 9 includes Rialto and Fontana, is at a deviation of 1.69 10 with a Latino CVAP of 55.85. 11 And then moving just South is JRC. And this 12 district is at a deviation of 2.09 and a Latino CVAP of 13 51.58. 14 Just to the Northeast is SBCHR. And this district is at a deviation of negative 4.54, so that's definitely 15 16 on the higher end of our allowable deviation range. 17 Could definitely look at moving some population into this 18 district from surrounding districts. Currently, at a 19 Latino CVAP with 51.45. And then the final VRA consideration district in 20 21 this area is MPH. This is slightly overpopulated at .52 22 percent, and is under, currently under what would be 23 protested by the Voting Rights Act at 49.81 percent, so I 24 would urge the Commission to definitely make it a 25 priority to get that number above fifty percent and lose

1 some population potentially as well to balance that out. 2 Just moving to the South is district SECA, Southeast 3 California. This district is quite large. It goes all the way North into San Bernardino County from Imperial County. And it's currently at a deviation of 1.11 and a 5 6 Latino CVAP of 3.93. 7 Then our final VRA consideration district would be CVSY. And this is in the South Bay area of San Diego. 8 9 And this is currently at a perfectly balanced 0 percent 10 deviation with a 55.82 percent Latino CVAP. 11 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Sivan. 12 Mr. Becker, did you have anything for us in this 13 area before we go into it? 14 (No audible response) 15 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Perhaps not. 16 I'd like to then, Commissioners, if it bodes well 17 for you, I'd like to take a look at the area, MPH, if we 18 can start there because, as Sivan pointed out, it's a bit 19 lower for a VRA district than we would want to have. 20 And so would then take kind of comments from our 21 Commissioners. I see Commissioner Fernandez, Kennedy, 22 and Yee. 23 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Sivan, if you could -- if we could just get the Latino CVAP overlay?

MS. TRATT: Yeah. I was going to ask, actually --

- 1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.
- 2 MS. TRATT: -- Jaime, if you could stop sharing your
- 3 | screen, I can start a new share. We were just
- 4 overlapping here a little bit.
- 5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. That'll help us
- 6 as we move forward.
- 7 MS. TRATT: Sorry. Let me just get that turned on.
- 8 And it is not saving my settings, so just one second
- 9 while I get that configured. So sorry about that.
- 10 MR. DRECHSLER: And I do see Mr. Becker. I don't
- 11 know if you had any comments on the VRA districts that we
- 12 just went over. If you had any comments that you wanted
- 13 to weigh in before we --
- 14 MR. BECKER: Well, I came in -- we were on a
- 15 | separate call --
- 16 MR. DRECHSLER: Oh, okay.
- MR. BECKER: -- when I came in. If you can zoom in
- 18 on MPH, please.
- 19 MS. TRATT: Absolutely. One moment.
- 20 MR. BECKER: All right. Can you add with Latino
- 21 CVAP, please?
- MS. TRATT: This is with Latino CVAP on. And this
- 23 is the range of percentage.
- 24 MR. BECKER: I'm sorry. I mean, on the label.
- 25 MR. DRECHSLER: On the --



1 MR. BECKER: On the label. 2 MS. TRATT: Oh, I'm so sorry. Yes. Yes. Let me 3 turn that on. So sorry about that. It's okay. Thanks. 4 MR. BECKER: TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: And when you finish that, 5 6 Sivan, at some point, if you could darken the boundaries 7 a bit. MS. TRATT: Okay. I'm actually going to turn on 8 9 just the layer for the -- yes. So --10 MR. BECKER: That's works great. Perfect. 11 So I'll just note, obviously this is at the high end of population -- the high end of the legal limit. It's 12 13 overpopulated against the ideal. And the Latino CVAP is 14 below fifty percent just a touch, which is probably 15 slightly low for this area of Voting Rights Act concern. 16 And I know there were some suggested changes that have 17 been discussed that might resolve this, I think, 18 hopefully, pretty easily, so I won't say anything more 19 about that because I think some of the Commissioners had 20 some direction and some ideas they wanted to explore 21 there. 2.2 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Mr. Becker. 23 We had Commissioner Fernandez. Were you first, or 24 did you --25 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: (Indiscernible,

- 1 | simultaneous speech) --
- 2 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Oh, you want to wait.
- 3 Okay.
- 4 Commissioner Yee?
- 5 | COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. So I'd like to make a
- 6 suggestion that we start by moving Winchester into
- 7 | Southwest Riverside and perhaps the unincorporated
- 8 portion to the West of that as well.
- 9 MS. TRATT: Chair, I'm just going to wait for your
- 10 direction --
- 11 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Uh-huh.
- 12 MS. TRATT: -- in case there are multiple inputs
- 13 from Commissioner.
- 14 | TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. We'll start with
- 15 Commissioner Yee's suggestion. That was Winchester.
- And Commissioner Yee, your voice cut out a bit for
- 17 me. Was it is -- what was the other one?
- 18 | COMMISSIONER YEE: Just the unincorporated portion
- 19 West of it as well.
- 20 MS. TRATT: And that was moving that into the SECA?
- 21 | COMMISSIONER YEE: No, it's not supposed to --
- 22 MS. TRATT: This -- sorry.
- 23 COMMISSIONER YEE: -- SWRIVERSIDE.
- 24 MS. TRATT: Oh, SWRIVERSIDE. Okay. Thank you.
- 25 COMMISSIONER YEE: I'm interested in Hemet as well,



1 but that has 90,000 people, so --2 MS. TRATT: All right. So the selected area -- let 3 me just bring up the pending changes so you all can see that. So --4 COMMISSIONER YEE: Can we make that bigger, please? 5 6 MS. TRATT: Yeah. One second. Okay. So that would 7 make the deviation of Southwest Riverside negative 3.43 percent, and MPH would be 3.63 percent. 8 9 COMMISSIONER YEE: And the change in the CVAP --10 MS. TRATT: And Jaime, I'm not -- sorry. I'm having 11 technical question for Jaime just about adding in the fields of the CVAP. It doesn't look like they carried 12 13 over with the new plan. 14 MS. CLARK: Yeah. No problem, Sivan. I'll message 15 you. 16 MS. TRATT: Okay. Thank you. 17 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So that'll be coming 18 up in just a minute. 19 Commissioner Fernandez, while we wait for that to 20 come up -- Sivan, just a question for you. So in 21 selecting the unincorporated areas, it seems like there's 22 an area that dips down that was not grabbed. Is that 23 because that's a different part of -- what is that in the 24 middle there, that little V? Green Acre -- oh, I see.

MS. TRATT: Yes. So I just --

1	TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Okay. I see.
2	MS. TRATT: excluded the cities of Green Acres
3	and Homeland. And then I also made sure that Hemet was
4	excluded. So this is just the city of Winchester and the
5	unincorporated area just bordering it.
6	TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Before we accept that, let
7	me take Commissioner Kennedy.
8	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair.
9	Sivan, it looks to me like part of Menifee is or
LO	that Menifee is split, and so I would ask if we could
L1	have all of Menifee in the SWRIVERSIDE district. Thank
L2	you.
L3	MS. TRATT: Absolutely, Commissioner Kennedy. I'm
L 4	just looking at adding in the summary fields for Latino
L 5	CVAP so we can get that displayed. So sorry. Just give
L 6	me one moment to do that. We are trying to do this a
L 7	different way than we did at the live line drawing, so
L 8	thank you for everyone's patience.
L 9	TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: You are doing just fine.
20	We are patiently waiting.
21	(Pause)
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible)
23	TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. What do you know
24	about Green Acres?
25	COMMISSIONER YEE: This Thursday, the governor will

- 1 be two blocks away lighting the holiday tree, with
- 2 choirs, 5:30.
- 3 (Pause)
- 4 MS. TRATT: All right. Thank you so much for
- 5 everyone's patience. Let me make this bigger again. And
- 6 then we will be able to look at the Latino CVAP. And
- 7 then I will look at the Menifee area.
- 8 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.
- 9 MS. TRATT: Yes. Absolutely. Oops.
- 10 So it looks like the percent Latino CVAP in MPH
- 11 | would become 49.82, and Southwest RIV would be 30.26 if
- 12 the added areas were moved.
- 13 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So then --
- 14 MR. BECKER: So if I'm not mistaken, that's exactly
- 15 | the same Latino CVAP as before.
- 16 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: 49.8.
- 17 MR. BECKER: Yeah.
- 18 MS. TRATT: Yeah. It actually went -- yes. Yes.
- 19 That is, I believe, the same.
- 20 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Well, Commissioners,
- 21 | let's keep doing some work here.
- 22 Commissioner Sadhwani?
- 23 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I think we had
- 24 received some COI testimony about possibly removing South
- 25 | Hemet in addition to Winchester. I'm not sure what

1 exactly the border of South Hemet would be. I don't know 2 if it's that little -- obviously, it would have to be 3 contiguous, so perhaps splitting the city right at about where the East Hemet line is, and taking that part out --4 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: So let's accept the change 5 that we have. Can we do it incrementally, Commissioner 6 7 Sadhwani? 8 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Sure. Absolutely. 9 MS. TRATT: All right. Accepting this change. 10 let me just turn on those labels again now that I have 11 those fields. All right. 12 So looking at Hemet now, would you like me -- let me 13 turn on the streets layer to see if we can get a better 14 sense of maybe a major road to split. 15 (Pause) 16 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Kennedy --17 MS. TRATT: One of those days that --TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: -- while she's finding the 18 19 road, do want to go, or do you want to wait until she 20 finds it? 21 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I wanted to get us back and 22 make sure that consolidate Menifee before we skip ahead. 23 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Uh-huh. 24 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. 25 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Got you.

1 MS. TRATT: Chair, should I go back and look at 2 Menifee first? 3 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. Why don't we do that. Thank you. I forgot about Menifee, so yes, 5 please. 6 MS. TRATT: Okay. So looking at Menifee, I believe, 7 Commissioner Kennedy, correct me if you're wrong, this is 8 the area that we were looking at that splits lately? 9 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Correct. 10 MS. TRATT: Would you like me to attempt to move 11 this portion of Menifee back into Southwest Riverside? 12 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I would. Yes, please. MS. TRATT: Okay. Should I go ahead and commit this 13 14 change? 15 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please. 16 MS. TRATT: All right. So Menifee is now back 17 intact. And our deviations are still good with that 18 little bit of change? 19 MS. TRATT: Yes, deviations still within a 20 permissible range. Although, for MPH, we are currently 21 looking at still under 50 at 49.61 percent. 22 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So we dropped a bit. 23 Okay. So we're moving Commissioner Sadhwani back into 24 Hemet looking for boundary lines. 25 MS. TRATT: All right. This is the best street

1 layer that I have available. Technology is not working 2 in my favor today, so thank you, everyone, again, for 3 your patience. So it looks like the 74 runs to divide North-South 4 as a possible line. You could also just move from the 5 6 South up and kind of play the lock group adding game to 7 balance population. TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Uh-huh. 8 So 9 Commissioners -- thank you Sivan. 10 Commissioners, I don't have my communitive interest 11 up so I can watch hands. Do any of you have an idea as 12 far as North-South, the freeway divider? What are we 13 hearing in Hemet for the dividing point for checking --14 VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I thought I heard it was East Hemet that they wanted out of the COI? 15 16 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Oh. As soon as someone finds it, give direction, and we'll check it. 17 18 Now, Sivan, please hold for us as we're checking our 19 technology -- our tools on this side. 20 But Commissioner Andersen, that will come up too as 21 we're checking. 2.2 So at this point, we are trying to ensure that we --23 we're trying to still balance out population and increase in a VRA district our CVAP numbers. 24

Commissioner Sadhwani?

1 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I apologize. 2 to step away and just heard that last piece. Did we 3 attempt to look at South Hemet? TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. We're looking there 4 now. We were looking for a dividing point, and Sivan 5 6 pointed a couple of options for us, but we were trying to 7 just check and refer to some COI testimony to see what testimony we've received about where to split it. 8 9 Commissioner Sinay just found some things, unless 10 you found it already, Commissioner Sadhwani? 11 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I do have it in my notes. 12 believe it was from the Inland Empire United Group. But 13 I will look for it. 14 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Oh, she has it. That's the 15 same COI testimony. 16 Go ahead, Commissioner Sinay. 17 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Unfortunately, they did not 18 define South Hemet. 19 MS. TRATT: In that case, Chair, do you want me to 20 just look at what the impact would be for removing East 21 Hemet? 22 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Do we have -- did we find 23 COI testimony for that --24 COMMISSIONER SINAY: They did say, South Hemet, but 25 they just didn't define what they meant by South Hemet.

1 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. 2 Commissioner Sadhwani? 3 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. If I may, for me, I would say, Sivan, this could be a homework piece. Maybe you could look at this off-line tomorrow and look at if 5 6 removing it can improve this district and bring it back 7 to us. And maybe tonight we'll even get testimony when we 8 9 go to public comment. That way we can move on and look 10 at other areas because I think this would be a fairly 11 small change. 12 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Beautiful. Commissioner Yee? 13 14 COMMISSIONER YEE: I was going to suggest trying 15 South of the 74 if that could be done quickly, but also, 16 willing to just wait overnight if that seems better. 17 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Sivan, can we quickly look 18 at South of 74? 19 MS. TRATT: Absolutely, Chair. One moment. I'm 20 assuming that you would like to add this also into the 21 Southwest RIV district, or into SECA? 22 COMMISSIONER YEE: Southwest RIV. MS. TRATT: Okay. Perfect. One moment, please, 23 24 while I make those changes.

TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: While she's making the

1 changes, Commissioners, if you have the ability to look 2 at some of the other VRA areas that we've talked about: 3 RCFR, JRC, SBCHR, SECA, et cetera. We're going to kind of review those in a minute and see if there are changes 4 that need to be made. 5 6 (Pause) 7 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay? COMMISSIONER SINAY: I did find where East Hemet was 8 9 discussed. I think it's from the Black Census Hub. 10 "To make this map stronger, CVRA district, you must 11 include the communities of Hemet, San Jacinto, East 12 Hemet, Via Vista, and Homeland to VADMBCV." 13 I don't know how old this was because we don't ever 14 have those districts anymore. So it was probably old. 15 Sorry about that. 16 MS. TRATT: All right. Chair, when you're ready. I 17 added the area of Hemet that is South of the 74, and I'm 18 just going to delete this portion of East Hemet. Those 19 changes would make SWRIV 6.69 over deviation and MPH 6.5 20 under deviation. And it would raise the Latino CVAP of 21 MPH to 51.27. 2.2 And Mr. Becker, I don't know if you wanted to 23 comment on that before -- or if I commit this change. 24 MR. BECKER: Numbers pretty much speak for 25 themselves. Obviously, it's a deviation problem here

1 that needs to be resolved. So less population needs to 2 be removed from what's the MPH district? 3 The 51.27 is -- this will be a very lawyer-like thing to say. It is better. That's about -- and we 5 might be getting around to as close to as good as could 6 be done here. This is something I'd probably want to 7 take a closer look at with a little more time. TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Then as was 8 9 suggested earlier, Commissioners, are we good to -- and 10 let me adjust my screen so I can see this on Zoom. 11 we good to let Sivan continue to work in this area, or do 12 we have other changes? Are we good to move? 13 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. 14 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Before we do, Commissioner 15 Kennedy? 16 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sivan, could you zoom in a 17 little bit to that Northwestern corner of East Hemet? 18 I'm specifically looking to see -- yeah. If you can --19 there you go. Okay. 20 So we've got that very small portion of Hemet that 21 is below the 74 -- correct. That one. So just for 22 administrative ease, I would suggest that that also be 23 included in the area to be moved. 24 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Yes, I agree.

MR. BECKER: Although, I'll just point out, because

```
1
    of the underpopulation problem in the current MPH
    district, it's likely this line is going to -- this whole
 3
    line is going to move South if this is the way you want
    to go because there needs to be some additional --
 4
         COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Well, we may find the
 5
 6
    population from the other side of district. It'll be
 7
    good to see the map zoomed out soon so that we can see if
 8
    there are other places to bring in population from.
 9
    Thank you.
10
         TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER:
                                  Thank you.
         Commissioner Andersen?
11
12
         VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. The removing East
13
    Hemet came from live testimony when we were in San Diego.
14
    It was said by several people who spoke about that.
15
         TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER:
                                  Okay. And if we --
16
    Commissioners, are we -- because here's my thought, and
17
    this is what I'll be asking about, if we lock in what we
18
    currently have, and then I'd look to see about removing
19
    East Hemet and see what that looks like, particularly
20
    since we are over in this area. Are we good for that?
21
         UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                               (Indiscernible) --
2.2
         TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: You want to make it more --
23
    I thought we were over --
24
         UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We're over.
25
         TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER:
                                  We're over.
```

```
1
         VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: I would put that would SECA.
 2
    But just have a look to see what it does to the CVAP,
 3
    please.
         MR. BECKER: Yeah. Just to be clear, with that
 4
 5
    portion of South Hemet, MPH is underpopulated.
 6
         MS. TRATT:
                     That is correct. It would be
 7
    underpopulated by 6.74 percent. So if you wanted to
    balance within the two districts that you were changing,
 8
 9
    you could draw population maybe from the bordering cities
10
    over here -- if you didn't want it to ripple into the
11
    other districts, I mean.
12
         TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: I'm looking at -- yes.
13
    Okay.
14
         Commissioner Vazquez?
15
                                       Sivan, if you could
         COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah.
16
    pull up the Latino CVAP heat map again because I think
17
    there may be some population to get within -- this is
18
    going to make, I'm sure, people in March Air Force Base
19
    grumpy, but I thought I saw a significant population of
20
    Latino. And since this is a voting rights area district,
21
    I think -- hopefully, we won't have to split March Air
22
    Force base, but --
23
         MS. TRATT: Sorry, Commissioner Vazquez. I had it
24
    and somehow just trying to get it back. So sorry about
25
    that.
```

```
1
         Jaime, if you have technical advice about what's
 2
    going on, I would appreciate that as well.
 3
         Oh, there we go. I had two -- let's see.
        Okay. I'm sorry about that. I had two census block
 5
    layers for some reason. There we go.
 6
        Where did you want me to zoom in on?
 7
         COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: If you could look in the
    Northern -- oh, I was mistaken. I'm wondering if maybe
 9
    Meadow Brook and Canyon Lake make sense that -- at least
10
    those portions. I'm not sure what additional
11
    populations. Those may be small. Those may not be
12
    enough -- populated enough to get -- it seems like we
13
    might have to grab from, like, several areas if we're
14
    trying to stay within districts.
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Sorry. What was the goal?
15
16
    I'm just --
17
         COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Trying to balance population.
18
    I was also attempting to increase the Latino CVAP if
19
    possible. So I was attempting to grab additional
20
    population, ideally from areas with some higher
    concentrations of Latino residents.
21
2.2
         TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner
23
    Vazquez.
24
        And as I get ready to take the other hands, keep in
25
   mind, Commissioners, we also have, as an option, is to
```

```
1
    give direction and have Sivan leave and come back with
    the numbers balanced and see what she can do to increase
    as well. She just needs direction to know what area to
 3
    play in tonight.
         Commissioner Vazquez, did you have more?
 5
 6
         Okay.
 7
         Commissioner Kennedy?
                                Thank you, Chair. I was just
 8
         COMMISSIONER KENNEDY:
 9
    going suggest that Sivan could try, instead of the 74,
10
    could try Stetson Avenue as a Northern boundary of the
11
    area to be moved. Again, that doesn't have to be live,
12
    but that's another option. Thank you.
13
         TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Perfect.
14
         You got that, Sivan -- Stetson?
         MS. TRATT: I did.
15
16
         TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: All right.
17
         Commissioner Akutagawa?
18
         COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I was going to -- I'd like
19
    to see just the very top part. How far up this district
20
    goes? If you could just zoom in on it and then I have
21
    another comment after that. I just wanted to see. Okay.
2.2
         I did see -- and this is the beauty of conflicting
23
    testimony. I saw COI testimony in which -- or a draft
24
   map that was submitted as part of COI testimony that took
25
    that Northern border all the way up to the border where
```

```
Loma Linda and Grand Terrace and all that. I'm not
 1
 2
    sure -- I have to go back and look at the map again.
 3
         But separately, I also just want to note that in
    seeing some other COI testimony that is asking to keep
   Moreno Valley, Mead Valley, Good Hope, Perris, Romoland,
 5
 6
    Nuevo, Lakeview, Homeland, Green Acres, Winchester, and
 7
    San Jacinto, and remove Hemet and -- or East Hemet, I
 8
    quess, depending on what the numbers are from the area.
 9
         So it looks like a lot of what was requested is
10
    already there, but, I guess, it's still not enough.
11
    wanted to point that out, in terms of the COI testimony.
12
         TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER:
                                  Thank you.
13
         Commissioner Sinay?
14
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: (Indiscernible) --
         TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER:
15
16
         Commissioner Fernandez?
17
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I would recommend for this
18
    that we just give instruction to Sivan, and then we'll
    see what it looks like on Thursday.
19
20
         TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: That has been suggested.
    like that.
21
22
         Commissioners, are we good?
23
         Outstanding. Okay. Thank you --
24
         MS. TRATT: Well, Chair, I still have these pending
25
    changes to add the Southern portion of Hemet to the
```

1 Southwest Riverside district. Would you like me to 2 commit that, just so it'll give a better sense of what 3 population balance in the area would be, or just consider it as I'm looking tonight and tomorrow in making those 4 changes and potentially moving where that North-South 5 6 divide is as well? 7 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: At this point, if you'd consider it so that you'll have greater flexibility 8 9 having heard all of the testimony. 10 MS. TRATT: Okay. Perfect. I'm going to go ahead 11 and release these changes then. 12 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. 13 We're at 5:16 now. We will got to public comment at 14 5:30, so we do have a little bit more time to continue in 15 this conversation. Not for this area, Commissioners, I'm 16 looking for your direction in the other VRA districts in 17 our Southern California, Inland Empire. 18 Commissioner Akutagawa, your hands up? 19 Commissioner Kennedy? 20 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. 21 On the SECA district, as well as the Congressional 22 and Senate Districts, I want to refer us to the area in 23 Southeastern San Bernardino County and ask if the mappers 24 could give us a consistent Western boundary for that 25 portion of that district that we kind of have similar at

1 all three levels. But that Western boundary in that 2 Southeastern corner of San Bernardino County is in three 3 different places, and I think that, given the low population density, that's going to be unnecessary and 4 5 administratively difficult for folks. 6 So if they could, as they're looking at, between now 7 and Thursday, could just compare those -- the Western boundaries of that segment in Southeastern San Bernardino 8 9 County and come up with something consistent at all there 10 levels. Thank you. 11 MS. TRATT: Yeah. Absolutely. Thank you so much. 12 We will definitely make sure that those match between 13 plans. We did not forget. 14 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Perfect. 15 Commissioner Sadhwani? COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yep. This could be a 16 17 homework piece as well. I believe it's the RCFR 18 district. Rialto, Fontana, and -- oh, we have them 19 together. And they are together. I feel like there was 20 some changes that there had been some COIs that had been 21 lifted up, and maybe I'm getting them wrong here, but 22 maybe we had already made the slight changes when we were 23 doing it. My apologies. I will double-check my notes

swapping in Upland, maybe into the PCO district to keep

and come back to you on that. I feel like it was

24

```
1
   Rancho Cucamonga maybe whole in there. I could be wrong,
 2
   but I will come back to that and lift up that testimony.
 3
         TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Sounds good. We were just
              There was also COI testimony about keeping
 4
    Coachella Valley whole. Did we already do that?
 5
 6
         UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible) --
 7
         TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: That's a big one.
    look at the big map. I think now we can't see.
 8
 9
    Are we there? It's really small for me. Oh, because I
10
    made my -- there we go.
11
        MS. TRATT: Chair, I can zoom in further if you'd
12
    like.
         TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: So can you show me -- so
13
14
    keeping Coachella Valley -- it's the whole thing. It is
15
    a VRA district. Okay. I'm not prepared to -- it's a VRA
16
    district. I don't have the COI testimony in from of me
17
    other than the desire for it to be whole, so I'm going to
18
    call on a couple hands and see if I can do some overlap
19
    and see if that's a direction I even want to attempt.
20
        Commissioner Fernandez?
21
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. I just wanted to go
22
    back to the district that Commissioner Sadhwani -- in my
23
    notes it said -- what do I have? Rialto and Fontana.
24
    And then I had Highland, but I don't see Highland.
25
         Is that the one you were thinking of, Commissioner
```

1 Sadhwani? 2 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: It could be. My apologies. I wasn't planning on working on this today. I thought we 3 were going to do that later, so I didn't have everything 4 5 prepped. 6 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Unfortunately --7 okay. So that doesn't -- that doesn't make sense. MS. TRATT: So Highland is -- yeah --8 9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. That doesn't make 10 sense then. MS. TRATT: -- is bringing a different district. 11 12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. So I don't know why 13 that would be in there. 14 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay. Thank you. I think 15 there was some testimony about possibly splitting Fontana 16 and keeping Rialto with San Bernardino, but I -- yeah. 17 Maybe this is something we can come back to on Thursday. 18 I just wasn't prepped for the Inland Empire for today. 19 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Commissioner 20 Fernandez, was that it? 21 Commissioner Kennedy? 2.2 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. If we can 23 bring the map back to focusing on the Coachella Valley. 24 Couple of things. First of all, you know, my

problem with it is, division along the 10 is very

artificial. You've got parts of Palm Springs that fall North of the 10. You've got parts of Cathedral City on both sides of the 10. You end up splitting the Agua Caliente tribal lands, which span the 10.

To me, if we're going to have to split the valley for population purposes, the more natural division would be as we come up along the Southwestern side of Indio, and then go North to take in Indio Hills.

Indio's the largest city in the valley by population anyway. So if instead of -- when that line coming up the Southwestern side of Indio hits the 10, instead of following the 10 up to North Palm Springs and Desert Hot Springs, if the line where to go North along the Western boundary of Indio Hills, you have basically there -- I can't accept that.

You know, Indio is -- and particularly Coachella, those two are more oriented towards Imperial County and towards the more agricultural areas. You know, we've heard testimony that the Coachella Valley economy is tourism and agriculture. In my mind, and I lived in the valley for fifteen years, there's kind of a dividing line between the tourism part of the valley and the agricultural part of the valley. And the agricultural part of the valley. And the agricultural part of the valley is kind of Coachella, Thermal, Mecca, Oasis. That area. And so I would ideally like to have

1 the whole valley whole --2 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. 3 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: -- but if we're going to have to divide it for population. You know, Indio is the largest city in the valley, and I'm wondering if we could 5 6 bring that line, as I say, up, the Western boundary of 7 Indio and following the Western boundary of Indio Hills. TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. Can you give that as 8 9 direction? I'd like to see that too. 10 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sivan? 11 12 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah. So if -- I guess, we 13 will have to include Desert Palms, but just where the 10 14 hits Desert Palms, go up to Western boundary of desert 15 palms, which then takes in the far Northwestern corner of 16 Indio, and then Indio Hills up to the boundary of MBCV. 17 MS. TRATT: And where would you -- just for 18 clarification, where would you like to move the -- would 19 you like to move these Northern cities South into the 20 MBCV --21 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes. Yes. 22 MS. TRATT: -- district? Okay. Would you like me 23 to visualize those changes now so you can see how it 24 would impact it?

Yeah.

Let's see it.

Yes,

TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER:

1 please. 2 MS. TRATT: Okay. Give me one moment, please. 3 Thank you. TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: And this may be the last 4 5 visualization or change that we see for today so that we 6 can go to public comment. We'll see how it plays out. 7 (Pause) MS. TRATT: All right. Commissioner Kennedy, moving 8 9 those requested areas into the MBCV district would make 10 that deviation 8.01 percent over. And SECA would become 11 negative 11 deviation. It would also raise the Latino 12 CVAP to 60.6 percent. 13 Would you like me to go ahead and commit this so we 14 can look at other swaps, or leave it for now? 15 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Commissioners? 16 VICE CHAIR ANDERSEN: If we added Hemet in there, it 17 might make up for it -- into SECA. 18 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani? 19 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I was just going to 20 say, the change I think for South Hemet, Winchester that 21 we were looking at before, we were putting it with that 22 Southwest Riverside, but I think it could also go into 23 the SECA district as well. That's another option.

Commissioner Kennedy, did you have more? I'm sorry.

TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Beautiful.

24

1 Okay. 2 Commissioner Akutagawa? 3 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I saw several COI testimony that spoke to Hemet and East Hemet being a COI 4 with Valle Vista, so that kind of move may also work. 5 6 I also saw reference to San Jacinto too, but I don't 7 know if moving that would be too much. TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: So let's commit what we 8 9 currently have, Sivan. 10 MS. TRATT: All right. Those changes have committed. 11 12 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Okay. And where are we at? 13 And so what we'd like to see and give direction, can 14 we give just some general direction to Sivan for this 15 evening because we have two minutes, and we will go into 16 public comment. 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible) --18 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Uh-huh. 19 Commissioner Akutagawa and then Commissioner Sinay. 20 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I also saw some -- just on 21 the MPH district, if Hemet and East Hemet were to be 22 taken out, I did see at least one COI testimony 23 mentioning High Grove could be one that could be included 24 in that MPH district. It's up in that upper corner of

the area. Yeah. Just to give an option.

- 1 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Okay.
 2 Commissioner Sinay?
- 3 COMMISSIONER SINAY: So -- sorry. I didn't realize
- 4 my mic had been on. So the direction that we're
- 5 | really -- for tonight is, we're trying to figure out how
- 6 to -- you know, how to increase the VRA -- you know,
- 7 strengthen the VRA for MPH and, you know, keep the VRA in
- 8 | Coachella Valley -- in the East Coachella Valley and
- 9 Imperial Valley, and so -- and, you know, get the numbers
- 10 close on, you know, the deviations down to the right
- 11 place. So that gives -- hopefully that gives enough
- 12 direction.
- 13 You know, we don't want to play around with any of
- 14 | the other VRA districts unless it increases -- you know,
- 15 | strengthens any of the VRA districts. So that's
- 16 | basically what the direction is for tonight, unless I'm
- 17 mistaken.
- 18 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner
- 19 Sinay.
- 20 Commissioner Sadhwani?
- 21 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes. I completely agree
- 22 with that, Commissioner Sinay.
- 23 And I just wanted to lift up, I think, I'm liking
- 24 | the direction that this change is going in, and I
- 25 | think -- if I'm understanding what's happening here, and

1 please, Sivan, correct me if I'm wrong, what has happened 2 is that Latino CVAP now in SECA is actually quite high, 3 which is good, not a bad problem necessarily. It may not necessarily need to be that high. And we're way 4 underpopulated. 5 6 The South Hemet and Winchester change that we had 7 made previously, we were putting those into the Southwest Riverside district. But if instead they went to SECA, I 8 9 think it might -- I'd like to see that as some of that 10 homework, if that would be a reasonable change. 11 And I just want to open up, I think -- I know 12 Commissioner Yee was going to take a peek at what the 13 population of that unincorporated area was. I don't know 14 if he has that and maybe wants to weigh in. Perhaps that 15 could stay in MPH to help maintain the population total 16 so our population deviations aren't going way off. 17 COMMISSIONER YEE: I think it's 10 to 20,000, but 18 I'm not sure of the boundary, so somewhere in that range. 19 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. 20 COMMISSIONER YEE: That's a great idea. 21 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. 22 And with that, Sivan, are you comfortable for this 23 evening? 24 MS. TRATT: Yes. I would ask what the Commission

feels in terms of prioritizing or dividing East Hemet

1 from Hemet or dividing -- like, what should my 2 prioritization be, or should I just come up with multiple 3 scenarios and then just explain what each thing did? TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. I would like 4 multiple. I think we have testimony that supports them 5 6 together and pulling East Hemet out as Commissioner 7 Andersen mentioned earlier. So the visualizations, the options would be great. 8 9 MS. TRATT: Okay. Great. I'll come back with some 10 different outcomes for you. 11 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Commissioners, I'm 12 ready to go to the public comment. Are you all good? 13 Okay. Then with that, Kristian. 14 MR. MANOFF: Yes, Chair. I'm going to do some 15 screen configuration here. Just a moment. 16 All right. We do have a plethora of callers. 17 will begin by reading the public input instructions for 18 making public comment by phone. 19 In order to maximize transparency and public 20 participation in our process, the Commissioners will be 21 taking public comment by phone. 2.2 To call in, dial the telephone number provided on 23 the livestream feed. It is 877-853-5247. When prompted, 24 enter the meeting ID number provided on the livestream 25 feed. It is 88465429407, for this meeting.

prompted to enter a participant ID, simply press pound.

Once you've dialed in, you'll be placed in a queue.

3 To indicate that you wish to comment, please, press star

4 | nine. Again, please, press star nine. This will raise

5 | your hand for the moderator. When it's your turn to

6 speak, you'll hear a message that says, "The host would

7 like you to talk. Press star six to speak."

If you'd like to give your name, please, state and spell it for the record. You are not required to provide your name to give public comment.

Please, make sure to mute your computer or livestream audio to prevent any feedback or distortion during your call. Once you're waiting in a queue, be alert for when it is your turn to speak. And again, please turn down the livestream volume.

And again, if you can hear the sound of my voice, and you've dialed in to give public comment, you are in the right place. To let me know that you want to give public comment, please, press star nine on your telephone keypad. This will raise your hand and get you in line to give public comment.

I see those hands going up. I appreciate that.

Again, that is star nine. And when it is your turn to speak, I will ask you to press star six. You'll also hear a prompt on just your phone reminding you to press

1 star six.

All right. So let us begin. We're going to start with caller 0317. And after that will be caller 8011.

Caller 03 -- oh, one more thing. We're going to be enforcing a two-minute time limit with a warning of thirty seconds and fifteen seconds remaining.

Again, caller 0317, followed by caller 8011.

Caller 0317, please follow the prompts to unmute.

9 The floor is yours.

MS. SCOTT: Good evening. Good Evening. My name is Kristine Scott. And that's spelled K-R-I-S-T-I-N-E, Scott, S-C-O-T-T.

My comments tonight are to strongly urge the

California Citizens Redistricting Commission to

reconsider its proposed Congressional State Assembly and

State Senate district maps and keep the city of Rancho

Cucamonga whole.

Rancho Cucamonga has a strong sense of identity and has historically been all or mostly in one Senate,
Assembly, or Congressional District.

The proposed district maps unnecessarily split our community and our neighborhoods. The Northwest portion of Rancho Cucamonga, which is roughly one third of our community, would be excluded from effective representation with the rest of Rancho Cucamonga by being

lumped into separate Congressional and State Assembly districts, primarily located in an entirely separate county, Los Angeles County.

Specific to the Congressional District map, the boundaries have a primary focus in LA County, leaving little to no ability for our residents to influence policy. In regard to the State Assembly district map, it includes foothill and nonfoothill communities, inevitably resulting in drastically different opinions on critical issues like fire management and transportation, making effective representation very difficult.

For the State Senate, Rancho Cucamonga is split in half over two districts with the Northern portion being part of the distant high desert region of San Bernardino County.

Some of these communities are more than 70 miles from Rancho Cucamonga and includes rural communities, which is a stark contrast from Rancho Cucamonga more urban, suburban communities.

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MS. SCOTT: There are virtually no commonalities between our residents and those of LA County and the remote mountains and deserts of San Bernardino County.

The special needs of our unique diversity need effective representation and only get (indiscernible) --

- 1 MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.
- 2 MS. SCOTT: -- we respectfully request the
- 3 Commission keep Rancho Cucamonga whole and revise the
- 4 | both Congressional State Assembly and Senate district
- 5 maps. Thank you.
- 6 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.
- 7 MR. MANOFF: And as a reminder, we are interpreting
- 8 your public comments, so please speak at a steady pace
- 9 and take your time with county and city names and any
- 10 numbers that you might have in your public comment.
- 11 Thank you so much.
- 12 Next up, we'll have caller 8011 and then caller
- 13 | 3196.
- 14 | Caller 8011, please follow the prompts to unmute.
- 15 Go ahead.
- MR. THAKUR: Yes. Thank you very much.
- 17 Chairperson, Commissioners, staff, thank you very
- 18 much, first of all, for your service. I do personally
- 19 believe that this is a great service that you're
- 20 providing for the people of California.
- 21 My name is Aaruni, A-A-R-U-N, as in Nancy, I. Last
- 22 | name, T, as in Tom; H, as in Henry; A, as in apple;
- 23 K-U-R, as in Robert.
- 24 I'm a lifelong resident of Fullerton, California,
- 25 except for the time that I briefly left for college and

- grad school. And I was raised in this wonderful community, and I'm raising my children here.
- I have heard that the Commission is proposing some
- 4 changes to North Orange County, including dividing up the
- 5 | city of Fullerton into possibly multiple Assembly
- 6 Districts. I would ask -- urge you to please reconsider
- 7 this option. Fullerton is not a big city, but we do
- 8 | share a very common identity, and I believe that
- 9 | splitting it up among multiple Assembly Districts would
- 10 | not be beneficial to the people of Fullerton.
- 11 There is apparently another plan to draw Fullerton
- 12 | into a new Senate District. I have been following along.
- 13 I want to also thank you for making the provisional maps
- 14 | that --
- MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.
- 16 MR. THAKUR: -- that you been providing available.
- 17 And thank you for sharing that information.
- But if you would consider drawing Fullerton into
- 19 other Orange County -- along with other Orange County
- 20 cities, such as Santa Ana for the State Senate, I believe
- 21 | that that would represent --
- 22 MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.
- 23 | MR. THAKUR: -- communities of interest.
- 24 And I would just close by asking you once again not
- 25 | to split up Fullerton in the ways that you've proposed



1 earlier today. 2 Thank you so much for your service. Good night. 3 MR. MANOFF: Thank you. Up next, we've got caller 3196. And after that will 4 5 be caller 9708. 6 Caller 3196, please follow the prompts. Go ahead. 7 MR. CHRISTIAN: Hi, Commissioners. My name is Christian (ph.), and I'm going to be reading a letter 8 9 into the record from the Keep Long Beach Together 10 Coalition. We write you to share our deep thanks and enthusiasm 11 12 for your draft maps and for having entered a new phase in 13 the redistricting process. Long Beach greatly 14 appreciates that for the first draft maps for California 15 State Assembly, Senate, and Congressional Districts all 16 who our city mostly united. Our community is engaged 17 extensively with you in this process that began in June 18 2021, and we look forward to engaging with you through 19 the adoption of final maps on December 27th. 20 We've been active since your very first meeting 21 because we know how important this process is for our 22

23

24

- our Cambodian community, Latino social justice groups,

 LGBTQ plus organizations, and nonprofits and business

 organizations.
 - We want to work with the Commission to ensure Long
 Beach stays as in tact as possible for the Congressional
 maps, and we want to remind the Commission of some of the
 advocacy that you've heard over the last few months.
 - This includes the Long Beach Unified School

 District, and they have been consistent in requesting
 that you keep Long Beach, Lakewood, Signal Hill, and
 Avalon together; the Long Beach Community College
 district, which has two campuses, an East and in central
 Long Beach; the Port of Long Beach, the cities' top job
 and economic driver. They have made the request to stay
 united with the rest of the city --
- 16 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.
 - MR. CHRISTIAN: -- the cities large and historic LGBTQ plus community, and they've asked to not split their voices and diminish their power.
- 20 MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

MR. CHRISTIAN: The Cambodian community, which is the largest in the country, is asking not to divide Cambodia Town from the rest of the city, specifically, Cal State Long Beach, which hosts a number of the programs in the communities.

1 We thank you so much for your support in all of 2 these advocacy --3 MR. MANOFF: Thank you. Up next, we've got caller 9708. And after that will 4 5 be caller 6115. 6 Caller 9708, please follow the prompts. The floor 7 is yours. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. I'm calling today 8 9 about the Orange County Congressional Districts. I think 10 they should be a top priority for us to revisit. I hope 11 the Commission will focus on creating a true coastal 12 district that goes from Seal Beach down to San Clemente. 13 Right now the maps are very random and arbitrary. I think the Commission needs to spend more time 14 15 understanding the communities of interest in the areas 16 and adhering to their wishes. Thank you. 17 MR. MANOFF: Thank you. 18 And up next, we've got caller 6115. And after that will be caller 7175. 19 20 Caller 6115, follow the prompts. Go ahead. 21 MR. CHAN: Hello. My name is Nathan Chan (ph.). 22 I'm calling you today from San Mateo County. I would 23 like to echo the previous commenters gratefulness for the 24 work that you all are doing. 25 I recently served on the county district lines

Commission, and I know it's very challenging work.

San Mateo County is a very diverse county. It is a majority minority county. But the distribution of minorities in San Mateo County is concentrated in the

5 North part of the county and the South part of the

6 county, with most of the central part of being 7 predominately white majority.

The way that the current district maps are proposed, unfortunately, carved out some of this diversity from Assembly District 22, which I know some of it is unavoidable but possibly some of it could be rectified in some measure.

For example, Redwood City, which has a large
Hispanic population, is currently split under the draft
map. If Redwood City could be kept whole, a significant
number of Latino voters would be part of Assembly
District 22 that wouldn't be part of Assembly District 22
under the current draft map.

So please consider that when you --

MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

MR. CHAN: -- think about San Mateo County and Assembly District 22. That will enable representation for that Assembly District to represent the totality of San Mateo County effectively. Thank you very much.

25 MR. MANOFF: Thank you.

1 And up next we've got caller 7175. And after that 2 will be caller 8245. Caller 7175, the time has come to follow the 3 prompts, please. Go ahead. MR. LOUIS: Hello. I just want to thank the 5 6 Commissioners for allowing me to speak. 7 My name is Louis (ph.), and I live in Angels Camp area in Calaveras County. 8 9 I've heard numerous callers asking for the Sierras 10 to be kept separate from central valley, specifically 11 Stanislaus County in the current ECA Congressional draft, 12 and I strongly disagree with these callers. 13 As a resident of the Sierras, my community has a 14 strong relationship with the central valley and 15 Stanislaus County. We do our grocery shopping there. We 16 have medical appointments there. Central services we 17 rely on are based in Stanislaus County. Many of our 18 residents jobs are based in the valley. Residents in the 19 central valley also vacation in our Sierras. 20 So there is a clear connection between the Sierras 21 and Stanislaus County, the central valley has a whole. 2.2 So I strongly support the current Congressional draft 23 map. I ask the Sierras to stay with Stanislaus. 24 Thank you. And that's all I have to say. Hope

25

everyone has a great day.

MR. MANOFF: Thank you.

Up next we've got caller 8245. And after that will be caller 0073.

Caller 8245, please, follow the prompts. The floor is yours.

MS. OVERSTREET: Hello, there. I want to echo again, Thank you so much for all of the work that you're doing really creating a space for the community to call in and let you know what their needs are, and I hope you'll be responsive.

My name is Blair Overstreet (ph.), And I live in the San Diego community Of City Heights, and I've lived there for several years. And City Heights, and National City, and Paradise Hill belong in the majority Latinx district, along with Barrio Logan And the greater Logan Heights.

These communities really do share values and are up against, you know, similar issues and have similar thoughts on how to solve those, including environmental justice, housing insecurities, lots of gentrification and displacement, immigration, and economic justice.

So please keep my community of City Heights in the Latinx majority district. And thank you again for all the work that you do.

MR. MANOFF: Thank you.

25 Up next, we've got caller 0073. And after that will

- 1 be caller 0234.
- 2 Caller 0073, please follow prompts. Go ahead.
- 3 MR. AI: Hello, Commissioners. My name is Mike Ai
- 4 (ph.). I am here on behalf of the Equality California,
- 5 | the nation's largest LGBTQ plus civil rights organization
- 6 with hundreds of thousands of members state wide.
- 7 I'm calling about the MBCV Assembly District map.
- 8 I'd like to think the Commission, particularly
- 9 Commissioner Kennedy for uniting the LGBTQ plus community
- 10 in the Coachella Valley and the MBCV district.
- 11 The Coachella Valley is home to a historic and
- 12 | vibrant LGBTQ plus community, which includes many of our
- 13 LGBTQ plus elders in California, and now, the first
- 14 | generation of people living with HIV to live into their
- 15 senior years.
- 16 Thank you again for keeping the LGBTQ plus community
- 17 united MBCV.
- 18 MR. MANOFF: Thank you.
- 19 Up next, we've got caller 0234. And after that will
- 20 be caller 7258.
- 21 Caller 0234, please follow those prompts. Go ahead.
- 22 (In Spanish, not translated)
- MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.
- 24 (In Spanish, not translated)
- 25 MR. MANOFF: Gracias.



1 Up next, we've got caller 7258. And after that will 2 be caller 4554. 3 Caller 7258, please follow the prompts. That's caller with the last four digits, 7258. You may unmute your phone by pressing star six, please. One more time. 5 6 Caller with the last four, 7258, please press star six to 7 unmute. I'm so sorry, caller 7258, we will come back to 8 you. 9 Up next, we've got caller 4554. And after that will 10 be caller 8298. 11 Caller 4554, please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. Go ahead. 12 13 MR. PULLEN-MILES: Good evening, Honorable 14 Commissioners. My name is Robert Pullen-Miles. I'm the 15 mayor of the City of Lawndale. 16 I want to take this opportunity thank you for 17 hearing and listening to the voices of the parents in the 18 communities in the cities of Lawndale, Inglewood, and 19 Hawthorne, and Lennox. 20 In our community, we know that education is a big 21 equalizer. By keeping the cities Inglewood, Lawndale, 22 Hawthorne, and Lennox in the same Assembly District, you 23 are in fact ensuring that our children are together. 24 As previous parents and callers have stated, these

school districts (indiscernible) -- and our goal is

- 1 simply to lift children out of poverty. We work so well
- 2 together. Even one on Lawndale's school is physically
- 3 located in the city of Hawthorne, and our high schools
- 4 service several communities that overlap.
- 5 Our families and our kids deserve to be in the same
- 6 Assembly District, and I know with your leadership that
- 7 | that will be (indiscernible).
- 8 Once again, we are grateful that you are putting our
- 9 children first by keeping the cities of Inglewood,
- 10 Lawndale, Hawthorne, and Lennox together.
- And I thank you for your patience. And I thank you
- 12 for your service on this Commission. Thank you.
- 13 MR. MANOFF: Thank you.
- 14 Up next, we've got caller 8298. And after that will
- 15 be caller 7175.
- 16 | Caller 8298, if you could, please follow the
- 17 prompts. Go ahead.
- 18 MR. GONZALEZ: Hello. My name is Juan Gonzalez
- 19 | (ph.), and I live in North Ridge in San Fernando Valley
- 20 of LA. Thank you for taking my call and letting me share
- 21 my thoughts.
- 22 As other callers have shared, the Latino community
- 23 | in San Fernando Valley is important to my neighborhood
- 24 and nearby communities. The Commission has shown that
- 25 | you understand that, and thank you for drawing a Latino

1 Voting Rights Act Assembly district here in the San 2 Fernando Valley in your initial maps. 3 However, as several people have shared already, it is possible to draw two VRA Assembly Districts in the valley. And if you draw two Assembly Districts, you can 5 6 nest those inside of one of the VRA Senate District. 7 Please, don't limit my communities ability to elect a representative of our choice, and draw two majority 8 9 Latino Assembly Districts in the San Fernando Valley. 10 Thank you, again, for your hard work, and I hope you 11 will protect the voting rights of my community. Thank 12 you so much. 13 MR. MANOFF: Thank you. 14 Up next, we've got caller 7175. And after that will 15 be caller 3241. 16 Caller 7175, please follow the prompts. Go ahead. 17 MR. PAYNE: Thank you. Good evening, Commissioners. This is Jeremy Payne 18 19 (ph.) calling on behalf of Equality California. 20 Want to thank you for your work to unite the LGBTQ 21 plus community by putting Hollywood and West Hollywood in 22 the heart of LA's LGBTQ plus community together in the 23 same district today. 24 However, I just want to remind Commissioner that

there has been overwhelming COI testimony that the LGBTQ

- plus community in West Hollywood and Hollywood West of
 the 101 freeway should not be paired with Glendale.

 Hollywood and West Hollywood share homelessness and
 housing interests with central LA areas like Greater
- 5 Wilshire and Korea Town, interests that Glendale does not share.
- Given the changes made today, we would encourage the
 Commission to move Mid-city West and Greater Wilshire
 into the Glenn and LA district, and then move
 (indiscernible) out of Flintridge and the city of
 Glendale North of the 134 freeway out of that district as
 suggested by a number of Commissioners.
 - Doing so would better unite the LGBTQ plus community and other communities of interest in Hollywood, West Hollywood, and central LA, by keeping us separate from the city of Glendale, as supported by significant COI testimony.
- So thank you so much, and we look forward to seeing the updated maps.
- 20 MR. MANOFF: Thank you so much.

13

14

15

16

- And just a quick reminder, our lines will close at 6 o'clock.
- Up next, we've got caller 3241. And after that will be caller 7976.
- Caller 3241, if you could, please follow prompts.

Go ahead.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi, there. Recently, I've been listening to the redistricting Commission in your meetings, and I've noticed that on the Orange County coastal district that there's been a lot of back and forth where some support, but a lot citizens have been calling in, and they just want Orange County's beach cities to stay together versus being split up with other counties or being put with inland cities, and I think that you should listen to them because you are a Citizens Commission in that you're supposed to listen to the citizens.

And keeping Orange County beach cities together
makes the most sense because, I mean, in reality, if you
really look at it, none of these communities outside of
Orange County have anything in common with each. And so
like L.A. has different priorities. I mean, someone from
Keep Long Beach Together just called in. People in San
Diego have nothing -- there's literally fifteen miles of
nothing in between the nearest town for Orange County.
And so it doesn't make any sense, and so I just think
keeping Orange County together, like all the other
citizens have been saying, just makes the most sense.
But thank you. And hopefully, we get that done. Have a
nice night.

1 MR. MANOFF: Thank you. Up next, we've got caller 2 7976. And after that will be caller 5647. 3 Caller 7976, please follow the prompts. Go ahead. MS. CAMPBELL: Hi. My name is Winky Campbell (ph.), 4 and I've lived in the East Bay Alameda County for many 5 6 years. Yesterday, I heard a caller from Albany, who 7 talked about your Commission being ready to be okay with undoing a change and going back to an earlier draft, and 8 I urge you to do that. Another caller talked about 9 10 taking the time to intentionally reflect on the lines 11 you've drawn. They were both right. Older options of 12 your maps may actually be better. By pausing to look 13 back after you draw is the only really way to really know 14 what you did. The two suggestions from yesterday's 15 callers are particularly important as you move from draft 16 maps to final maps. You might spend an hour drawing 17 something this week and determine that you fixed a 18 problem you were trying to solve. 19 However, when looking at the big picture, you may 20 realize that your Commission created several worse 21 problems, and thus, the only prudent course of action is 22 to change it. Newer is not always better. But you can 23 only figure that out if you allow yourself time to do so, 24 which is why you need that reflective time, not to 25 reflect on the draft maps -- you had two weeks to do

- 1 | that -- now you need time to reflect on this week's work,
- 2 | time after you've finished the plan, to stop before you
- 3 jump into a new set of maps. If you look at the
- 4 districts you've drawn, review them systematically and
- 5 deliberately. Are they what you actually what you want
- 6 to be in your final maps? You probably didn't know that
- 7 | moving Albany was a bad idea until you moved Albany, and
- 8 I suggest that you go back to an earlier iteration. And
- 9 you can't know what this week's Albany is going to be,
- 10 but you can know that your schedule needs to allow time
- 11 to at least --
- 12 MR. MANOFF: Twenty seconds.
- MS. CAMPBELL: -- talk about the changes you've
- 14 made. Thank you very much for your time and for allowing
- 15 us to participate. Good-bye.
- 16 MR. MANOFF: Thank you.
- 17 Up next, we've got caller 5647. And after that will
- 18 be caller 8224.
- 19 Caller 5647, if you could please follow the prompts
- 20 to unmute. Go ahead.
- 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, and thank you
- 22 for this opportunity. I want to say thank you to the
- 23 | Commissioners, the staff, and the consultants that are
- 24 helping redistrict our entire state at so many different
- 25 | levels. I've had the honor of serving on two

1	redistricting Commissions one for the county and one
2	for my City of Redwood City, so I totally understand the
3	complexity. I'm here to urge you to please keep Redwood
4	City whole in the proposed Assembly San Mateo District
5	currently Assembly District 22 The draft map that you
6	guys are working on draws lines through communities of
7	interest, including historically underrepresented groups,
8	like immigrant and Latinx communities. Removing that
9	area of Redwood City dilutes the power of the Latinx
10	community in the proposed district by splitting thousands
11	of Latinx people from the current lines of Assembly
12	District 22. As a Latinx person myself, I ask, please,
13	do not split Redwood City, and keep it whole within the
14	rest of San Mateo County. As a former member of two
15	redistricting Commissions, I understand the complexity
16	and difficulty in finding population balance, respecting
17	communities of interest, and keeping cities and counties
18	whole. In the spirit of the Voting Rights Act and the
19	Fair Maps Act, I am identifying the historic
20	neighborhoods of Palm, Woodside, Roosevelt, Eagle Hill,
21	and Farm Hills, as communities of interest and ask that
22	you keep them whole within Redwood City, and Redwood City
23	whole within San Mateo County. I urge the 2020
24	California Citizens Redistricting Commission to keep
25	Redwood City within the proposed AD SANMATEO map. That

1 clearly expresses the will of the residents of Redwood 2 City and furthers the goal of equity for us all. 3 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds. 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So thank you very much. 5 MR. MANOFF: Thank you. And up next, we've got 6 caller 8224. And after that will be caller 2931. 7 Caller 8224, if you could please follow the prompts by pressing star six. Caller with the last four 822 --8 9 go ahead. 10 Okay. Hi. Dear Commissioners. MS. NGUYEN: 11 name is Stephanie Nguyen. I'm calling in regard to the 12 Little Saigon community of Orange County. I have made 13 several comments about the process, so I just want to 14 thank you all for listening to our comments. 15 (Indiscernible) on the Senate map. Please, don't 16 make any change for the Senate district, with just a few 17 minor change to the Congressional and Assembly maps to 18 have a true representation of Little Saigon. That is to 19 add inland part of Huntington Beach to the map. 20 are a lot of Vietnamese-Americans in Huntington Beach 21 that border on Fountain Valley and Westminster. Not sure 22 if you are aware, on November 22nd, the Orange County 23 Board of Supervisor approved their redistrict map. They 24 acknowledged that Little Saigon belongs in the City of

Westminster, Fountain Valley, Los Alamitos, Rossmoor,

- 1 Seal Beach, Huntington Beach, Midway City, and portions 2 of (indiscernible) district. This clearly shows that the 3 county acknowledged that Huntington Beach does, in fact, belong with Little Saigon where they are sharing social service, government programs, healthcare service, and 5 6 education. Please reconsider. Thank you for listening. 7 Thank you so much for your hard work. MR. MANOFF: Thank you. Up next, we've got caller 8 9 2931. And after that, will be caller 0682. 10 Caller 2931, please follow the prompts by pressing 11 star six. 12 MS. TAI: Hello, Commissioners. My name is Laura 13 Tai (ph.). I am calling again regarding about Little 14 Saigon community of interest. And thank you for 15 everything you are doing to make it right for every 16 community of interest, especially your attention to 17 Little Saigon. I didn't know if you hear that the Orange 18 County Board of Supervisors approved their redistrict
 - maps last week. They included Huntington Beach in with Little Saigon. This is what our community have been asking for. As you know, the county -- the community interested healthcare and social service. And ADGGW draft map. Please add the inland part of Huntington Beach to include in Huntington Harbor where we're next

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

generation Vietnamese-Americans have been moved to.

- 1 | up North (indiscernible) Street in Huntington Beach, the
- 2 | whole City of Huntington Beach, like (indiscernible).
- 3 You need to take out Santa Ana East of Garden Grove and
- 4 Euclid Street. This can be another district since it
- 5 doesn't have any interest with our Little Saigon
- 6 community. That is what the county did as well.
- 7 | Congressional map at Huntington Beach and remove Artesia
- 8 and Saratoga. Thank you for your time. Have a good
- 9 night. Bye-bye.
- 10 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.
- MR. MANOFF: Thank you. Up next, we've got caller
- 12 0682. And next after that will be caller 7208. Caller
- 13 0682, please follow the prompts. Go ahead.
- 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners.
- 15 | I wanted to call in as a resident of Palmdale in support
- 16 of our current Assembly draft and Congressional draft
- 17 maps. For far too long, residents of Palmdale have been
- 18 expected to be lumped with communities far too different
- 19 from our own at every level. I support your current
- 20 draft of AD ANTELOPE and AD SCV. I appreciate you not
- 21 bowing to public political pressure and remaining
- 22 independent. Palmdale wishes to be united with the rest
- 23 of the Antelope Valley in our Assembly district and with
- 24 our brothers and sisters in Santa Clarita in a
- 25 | Congressional district without Simi Valley. All of your

1 drafts for our area have been very fair and correct a 2 wrong that was done ten years ago. Thank you for your hard work, and happy holidays. 3 Thank you. Up next, we've got caller 4 MR. MANOFF: 5 7208. And after that will be caller 1013. 6 Caller 7208, please follow the prompts. Caller with 7 the last four digits 7208, please press star six to unmute your phone. Go ahead. 8 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. Good evening. 10 is Edna Luncy (ph.) longtime resident of Fullerton. 11 very, very surprised about the discussion they had this 12 afternoon, you know, talking about the North Orange 13 14 I don't know how this was treated. They were talking 15 about La Habra and suddenly they decide to divide the 16

County, how it's going to be split the City of Fullerton. City of Fullerton? Fullerton is a city of -- we are only 150,000 people that we live here, which it's been split already once. And this afternoon, one Commissioner suggested splitting the city three ways. Another Commissioner insisted on splitting off South Fullerton, which is heavily Latinx community, to put it with Santa No one has suggested putting South Fullerton in the Senate and Assembly district with Santa Ana. And nobody is addressing the Asian-American Advancing Justice or the Black Redistricting Hub. Nor the Orange County Civic

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Engagement Table is simply not required to comply with 2 the Voting Rights Act. It's simply a community of 3 interest, not recent whatsoever. It is splitting a city in a way that nobody had suggested that you should do. 4 If this never has to happen -- if you need to split North 5 6 Fullerton, which is heavily Korean, that is one thing --7 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds. 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- but keep the rest of 9 Fullerton with Anaheim. Make that the anchor for our 10 district. Please separate districts anchoring Santa Ana. 11 You can consider combining them in larger Senate 12 districts --13 MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds. 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- but splitting up Fullerton 15 in the ways that you discussed today in the Assembly map 16 is simply inexcusable. People don't have to accede to 17 comply to this. Please, listen to us, I ask deeply to 18 the Commission --19 MR. MANOFF: Thank you. And we are up against a 20 break, but before we go to break, I would like to one 21 more time invite those who have called in to please press 22 star nine to raise our hand. That'll let us know that 23 you have called in to give public comment. Again, please 24 press star nine to raise your hand. We will be coming

back after break to take your input. Chair, I defer to

Thank

1 you. 2 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: We are ready for break. Let's do it. 3 (Whereupon, a recess was held from 6:10 p.m. 4 until 6:25 p.m.) 5 6 TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. And thank you, 7 all for waiting through the break. We are in public 8 comment time, and so we see the hands. We thank you for 9 that. A reminder that public comment is two minutes, and we are now in the hands of Kristian. 10 11 MR. MANOFF: Thank you so much, Chair. And again, 12 we would like to invite those that have called in to give 13 public comment to please press star nine. This will 14 raise your hand for the comment moderator and get you 15 into the queue. I'm also going to invite Commissioner 16 Fernandez to invite the queue to raise their hand in 17 Espanol. 18 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Gracias, Kristian. 19 (In Spanish, not translated) 20 MR. MANOFF: Gracias. All right. First up, we've got caller 1013. And after that will be caller 3406. 21 2.2 Caller 1013, if you could please follow the prompts to unmute. Go ahead. 23

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioners.

you very much for all the work that you're doing.

24

wanted to thank you for hearing and listening to the voices of parents and community leaders and students in our effort to keep the Cities of Lawndale, Inglewood, and Hawthorne together.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our community has worked really hard over the last couple of years to make sure that our children continue to have a good education, and by keeping those cities together, you're keeping the school district together and allowing them to continue to have a good education. wanted to give you guys a call to thank you. Our families and kids deserve to be in the same Assembly district, and that's something that I know you guys are working towards that. And I wanted to give a special shout-out to Commissioners Sadhwani and Commissioner Yee for making sure that our cities are kept together. Commissioner Yee gave a really good example where we can take out the City of Gardena completely and incorporate the City of Lawndale. That way we'll keep the Cities of Lawndale, Hawthorne, and Inglewood together. Again, we are very grateful that you are prioritizing our kids and keeping those cities together. So we really appreciate Thank you. it.

MR. MANOFF: Thank you. Up next, we've got caller 3406. And after that will be caller 4149.

Caller 3406, please follow the prompts to unmute.

```
1
         MS. CORALES:
                      Hello. My name is Julie Corales.
 2
    am a resident of the Barrio Logan community in San Diego.
 3
    I'm a lifelong resident of San Diego. I have lived in
    Barrio Logan and in City Heights, and I'm calling to ask
 4
    the Commission to place the Community of City Heights in
 5
 6
    the Latino majority district -- the Latinx majority
 7
    district -- to group it together with Barrio Logan and
    the South Bay. These communities are intertwined.
 8
 9
    are the heart of our Latinx community. We shop together.
10
    We celebrate together. We go to the same events. And we
11
    need to be -- we need to be joined. We realize that City
12
    Heights has an important refugee community, but it is
13
    predominantly Hispanic, and we need to be together.
14
    Placing us with folks out -- East county affluent folks,
15
    predominantly white folks -- would disenfranchise this
16
    very special community. City Heights is urban. It is
17
    not suburban like the other communities that it is
18
    grouped with in the map. And it has very unique needs.
19
    These communities -- City Heights, Barrio Logan, Logan
20
    Heights, and the South Bay fight for similar issues:
21
    Economic justice, racial justice, immigration issues, and
22
    we need to have joint representation as we fight for our
23
    freedoms, really. So I urge the Commission to please
24
    keep these communities together.
25
```

Thirty seconds.

MR. MANOFF:

MS. CORALES: Do not siphon away City Heights and place it with communities that it does not have much in common with. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MANOFF: Thank you. Up next, we've got caller 4149. And after that will be caller 0205.

Caller 4149, please follow those prompts. The floor is yours.

Thank you, Commissioners, UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: again, for spending so much time on such an important issue. I know it's a lot of feedback to take in. calling in regards to the Assembly districts in South L.A. I'm really concerned that one of the four seats that have traditionally been in South L.A. has been eliminated, drastically reducing and minimizing the political voice of South L.A., which is one of California's most vulnerable communities. And definitely one of California's largest black communities. And in doing so, in eliminating one of these districts, you've essentially passed black voters into two districts in South L.A. My suggestion would be to shift AD 110LA Northwest as far as Westward to include areas East of the 405, including Culver City whole. That would allow for, again, a district based in Crenshaw, and then it would also allow for an additional district to the East that should include downtown Skid Row and other parts of South

- 1 | L.A. in that area. Again, I think it's really important
- 2 to include Skid Row, which is mostly black -- right? And
- 3 faces a lot of similar issues on housing,
- 4 | criminalization, et cetera.
- 5 At this point, I think it would be helpful to move
- 6 AD 105COR, again, West, to include LAX. I think as
- 7 | callers have mentioned, having Inglewood-based district
- 8 that includes Lennox, Hawthorne, is really important.
- 9 I'd like to --
- 10 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.
- 11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- note that other
- 12 | submissions that we've seen, it is possible to group
- 13 parts of Gardena into that district, which I think is
- 14 | important. There's really strong black communities
- 15 | across Crenshaw, and I think that --
- 16 MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.
- 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- (indiscernible,
- 18 | simultaneous speech) have shown some strong splits there.
- 19 | So again, we should have another fourth district to the
- 20 East of that that includes Western Compton. Again, we'd
- 21 | really love to see four black -- a strong South L.A.
- 22 district that balances black population, and don't pack
- 23 and don't eliminate political voices in South L.A. Thank
- 24 | you very --
- 25 MR. MANOFF: Thank you.



Up next, we've got caller 0205. And after that will be caller 5778.

addressed fairly.

Caller 0205, please follow the prompts. That's caller with the last four digits 0205. Please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. One more time for caller with the last four digits 0205. You may unmute your phone. Go ahead. The floor is yours.

MS. CRAFT: Hi. Good evening. Sorry for the delay there. A little technical issue on my part. thank you for the opportunity to share my comments this evening.

My name is Tiffany Craft, spelled T-I-F-F-A-N-Y

C-R-A-F-T, and I am a longtime resident of the City of Irvine. I wanted to briefly talk about the Assembly maps for Irvine where you have the city combined with Costa Mesa and Tustin. To me, it would make more sense if Tustin, North Tustin, Northern Irvine, and Lake Forest were together in the same Assembly district rather than with Costa Mesa where we have little in common. The areas along the foothills and the 241 corridor have common interests, school districts in some cases, and public policy priorities. Please consider changing the map to include the foothill communities in one Assembly district so that the needs of our community can be

Thank you for your consideration, and I look forward

1 to seeing Lake Forest with Northern Irvine and North 2 Tustin/Tustin in the next iteration of your maps. you for your commitment and your service to the 3 community. Have a wonderful evening. 4 5 MR. MANOFF: Thank you. And again, we'd like to remind those who have called in tonight to give public 6 7 comment to please press star nine. This will raise your This is for caller 1461, caller 2567, caller 2638, 8 9 caller 4047, caller 4201, caller 4328, caller 5273, 10 caller 8742, caller 9006, caller 9786. And to caller 11 7258, who we were unable to connect with earlier, I see 12 you're still connected. But if any of you would like to 13 give comment, please press star nine. We will be trying 14 your lines, but by pressing star nine you might get ahead 15 in line. You might not have to wait as long. Again, 16 that's star nine to raise your hand. 17 Up next, we've got caller 5778. And after that will 18 be caller 0223. 19 Caller 5778, please follow the prompts. Go ahead. 20 MS. MARTIN-MILIUS: Chair, Vice Chair, and 21 Commissioners, thank you so much for the opportunity to 22 speak. I'm Tara Martin-Milius, that's M-I-L-I-U-S. 23 in Santa Clara County, a former Vice Mayor and 24 councilmember of the City of Sunnyvale. Former 25 neighborhood leader and continue to be active in the

1 community. I am speaking as an individual. I'm in favor 2 of redistricting to keep Sunnyvale whole, and strongly 3 support the CD-BERRY SUNY draft Congressional district map. Our Sunnyvale City Council has also unanimously voted to request support of Sunnyvale in one 5 Congressional district, one State Assembly district, and 6 7 one Senate district, with like composition of cities such as Sunnyvale, Santa Clara, Cupertino, Fremont, and 8 9 Milpitas, which all have similar interests and needs, 10 including reginal concerns of economic interest, living 11 standards, transportation facilities, work opportunities. 12 My ask is that Congressional, State Senate, and State 13 Assembly redistricting consider the whole of Sunnyvale to 14 be a community of interest, lessening the issues across 15 district confusions and conflicts and affects. Sunnyvale 16 is part of the high-tech economy and should remain with 17 the other high-tech neighboring cities, such as Fremont, 18 Santa Clara, Cupertino, and Fremont and Milpitas. 19 all linked by income, housing, immigration status, all 20 regional issues which are more easily addressed by 21 remining in the same district. We -- the cities in this 22 district, all have a high number of Asian-Americans, East 23 Asian and Asian-Indian descent, which allows for 24 connectedness --

Thirty seconds.

25

MR. MANOFF:

1 MS. MARTIN-MILIUS: -- similar language services, 2 and language access, interest in immigration issues, and 3 cultural-specific social services. I hope you can keep Sunnyvale whole and all of us together in one district. 4 Thank you, all, for your dedication to this redistricting 5 6 process. 7 Thank you. Up next, we've got caller MR. MANOFF: 0223. And after that will be caller 2567. 8 9 Caller 0223, if you could please follow the prompts 10 by pressing star six. Go ahead. 11 MS. BROWN: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is 12 Natasha Brown. Thank you so much for taking the time to 13 listen to all the public comments. I know it is not an 14 easy task. I would like to take the time to specifically 15 talk about downtown Los Angeles and Skid Row. On both 16 the Assembly and Congressional levels, we see that 17 downtown Los Angeles and Skid Row are not in core South 18 L.A. districts. Skid Row is a community at risk of 19 losing political power resulting from gentrification 20 that's aimed at attracting higher-earning, wealthy 21 residents. Due to this, it's a community that needs to 22 be protected by being grouped with similar communities 23 who share the same concerns. These areas are home to black communities of interest that mirror each other's 24

concerns around gentrification, affordable housing, and

1 over-policing.

25

2 I would like to make some recommendations for 3 adjustments that would make this possible. At the Congressional level, I would recommend placing downtown 4 Los Angeles and Skid Row in the CD 10 CORE draft 5 6 district. And at the Assembly level, I would like to 7 recommend creating a fourth black opportunity district East of 110 L.A. that would include downtown Los Angeles 8 9 and Skid Row, pairing it with South L.A. neighborhoods. 10 I hope you take these recommendations into consideration 11 as it pertains to downtown Los Angeles and Skid Row. 12 These adjustments would allow the black residents --13 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds. 14 MS. BROWN: -- to effectively organize their 15 communities, make their voices heard politically, and 16 flourish. Thank you for your time, and have a good 17 evening. 18 Thank you. Up next, we've got caller MR. MANOFF: 19 2567. And after that will be caller 9786. 20 Caller 2567, please follow those prompts. The floor 21 is yours. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners. 23 Thank you for all your continued work on the maps. I'd 24 like to reference the Fresno-Tulare Congressional

district map. Hanford is a hub for smaller Kings County

1 communities. Residents from Corcoran, Kettlemen City and 2 other rural towns often travel to Hanford for shopping 3 and entertainment. College of the Sequoias and West Hills Community College campuses in Kings County attract Kings County high school graduates and community members 5 6 continuing their education. They are communities of 7 interest. Kings County has a dominant Latino presence throughout and the county should be kept together to 8 9 ensure the Latino community has fair representation in 10 Congress. I urge you to keep Kings County whole. 11 you for your time. 12 MR. MANOFF: Thank you. Up next, we've got caller 13 9786. And after that will be caller 4328. 14 Caller 9786, please follow those prompts. Go ahead. 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening. I live in the 16 Angel's Camp area in Calaveras County. I've heard 17 numerous callers asking for the Sierras to be kept 18 separate from the Central Valley, specifically, 19 Stanislaus County and the current ECA Congressional 20 draft. I strongly disagree with these callers. As a 21 resident of the Sierras, my community has a strong 22 relationship with the Central Valley and Stanislaus 23 County. We do our grocery shopping there. We have 24 medical appointments there. Essential services we rely 25 on are based in Stanislaus. Many of our resident's jobs

are also based in the Valley. Residents in the Central 1 2 Valley also travel and vacation in our Sierras. There is 3 a clear connection between the Sierras and Stanislaus County and Central Valley as whole. I strongly support 4 the current Congressional draft map and ask for the 5 6 Sierras to stay with Stanislaus County. 7 Thank you. Up next, we've got caller MR. MANOFF: 4328. And after that will be caller 8742. Caller 4328, 8 9 if you could please follow the prompts. Go ahead. 10 MR. ICHINOSE: Yeah. My name is Daniel Ichinose, 11 and I'm research director at the Orange County Civic 12 Engagement Table. I also worked to support the People's 13 Redistricting Alliance. We appreciate all your hard 14 work, recognizing the diverse interests the Commission 15 and line drawers are working to balance. We're happy 16 that the Commission is prioritizing VRA compliancy. It's 17 important that you get it right. 18 I have to admit to being confused by your discussion 19 of Orange County this afternoon, which discussed breaking 20 up a key COI in our county to comply with federal law. 21 Last month, you received numerous public map submissions. 22 These were submitted by talented folks with deep ties to 23 communities and decades of redistricting experience of 24 statewide maps from Asian-Americans Advancing Justice, 25 the Black Census and Redistricting Hub and MALDEF, and

- 1 | Southern California regional maps from the People's Bloc
- 2 | in Los Angeles. The People's Redistricting Alliance in
- 3 Orange County, and IE United in the Inland Empire.
- 4 Community members and organizations, demographers, and
- 5 attorneys spent months working on these maps. It all
- 6 began by assessing Gingles 1. How many districts can be
- 7 drawn in which targeted racial groups make up fifty
- 8 percent or more of a district's citizen voting age
- 9 population? In areas where these majority/minority
- 10 districts can be drawn, does racially polarize voting
- 11 exist? The statewide maps you received from Advancing
- 12 Justice, the Black Hub in MALDEF, as well as the line
- 13 regional maps from the People's Bloc --
- 14 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.
- MR. ICHINOSE: -- in L.A. and the People's
- 16 Redistricting Alliance in OC all demonstrate how VRA
- 17 | compliance can be achieved in Los Angeles and Orange
- 18 | Counties while maintaining the integrity of communities
- 19 of interest on both sides of the county line. So please,
- 20 please consider these maps --
- 21 MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.
- 22 MR. ICHINOSE: -- which will save you considerable
- 23 | time so late in this process, and prevent communities
- 24 | from being broken up unnecessarily. Thank you.
- 25 MR. MANOFF: Thank you. And again, we'd like to

invite those that have called in who have not yet spoken to please press star nine to raise your hand.

And I'd like to, again, invite Commissioner
Fernandez to please repeat the instructions in Espanol.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(In Spanish, not translated)

MR. MANOFF: Gracias. Up next, we've got caller 8742. And after that will be caller 2638. Caller 8742, if you could follow the prompts. Go ahead.

MR. PARKER: Thank you for your work to improve the redistricting process. My name is Allen Parker, and I'm calling about the Congressional and Assembly districts for Simi Valley, Santa Clarita, Canyon Country, Antelope Valley in relation to San Fernando Valley. I think it would be better to keep as much of the San Fernando Valley in contiquous districts as possible and not mix it with Santa Clarita. The San Fernando Valley is part of the second biggest city in the U.S., and Simi Valley, Santa Clarita, Canyon Country, Palmdale, and Lancaster are suburban towns outside of L.A., so a representative can't properly represent a merged district if they are that different. They have conflicting priorities. Previous Congressional visualizations kept Santa Clarita and the Antelope Valley whole, but to add population, it makes more sense to push West and include Simi Valley

- 1 | rather than taking a section of San Fernando Valley to
- 2 | the South. The San Fernando Valley, including Porter
- 3 Ranch and Granada Hills shares different concerns and
- 4 | issues than the Santa Clarita Valley. Thank you for
- 5 letting me speak.
- 6 MR. MANOFF: Thank you. Up next, we've got caller
- 7 2638. And after that will be caller 4201.
- 8 Caller 2638, if you could please follow the prompts.
- 9 That's caller with the last four digits 2638. If you
- 10 | could please unmute by pressing star six. One more time
- 11 for caller 2638. You can now unmute your phone by
- 12 pressing star six.
- 13 I'm going to invite Commissioner Fernandez -- oh,
- 14 | no. We have unmuted. Thank you so much, caller 2638.
- 15 Go ahead.
- 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. I'm calling from
- 17 | Sonora. I've lived in Sonora my entire life, and what
- 18 I've found in these maps is that we do participate in
- 19 | Stanislaus; we do go down the hill for our medicine, for
- 20 our groceries. We go to the Valley. I've heard a lot of
- 21 | people complaining about breaking up Stanislaus or doing
- 22 different things with Stanislaus to remove the mountain
- 23 | communities from it to make it -- keeping Stanislaus
- 24 | whole. I totally disagree with that.
- 25 The previous districts we've been in prior to this

185

1 ECA over the last ten years, our main focus has been 2 Sacramento. We don't go to Sacramento. We come to the

Valley. As I looked at this district, I do find it

- 4 unusual that the Lake Tahoe rim is the only (audio
- 5 interference) that's been separated, and you don't
- 6 have -- a contiguous area of Lake Tahoe has been
- 7 separated into two districts. I find that very odd. And
- 8 | I heard the complaints of the folks over in Mono County
- 9 as well as the Mammoth area, and we certainly -- us
- 10 people here in the foothills and the mountains -- we
- 11 don't go over the hill, and they should be kept in their
- 12 own district. The Alpine County down through Death
- 13 Valley -- that should be a separate district. So I just
- 14 ask that you take a closer look at where people live, how
- 15 the road systems work, and understand that there may not
- 16 be much in comparison between just the rim of Lake Tahoe.
- 17 That should be kept whole with Truckee and the
- 18 surrounding communities, as well as the fact that from
- 19 Mariposa, Oakhurst --

- 20 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.
- 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- Sonora -- we all go down
- 22 | the hill to Fresno, Clovis, Madera, Merced, Modesto, and
- 23 breaking up Stanislaus County makes some sense for us.
- 24 Thank you very much.
- 25 MR. MANOFF: Thank you. Up next, we've got caller



4201. And after that we have caller 9006. 1 2 Caller 4201, if you could please follow those 3 prompts. Go ahead. MR. WALDMAN: Stuart Waldman from VICA. Thank you 4 for letting me speak today. I was unsure when the San 5 6 Fernando Valley would be discussed. I understood that 7 Glendale being placed with West Hollywood today was discussed, which I agree with previous callers, it seems 8 9 like an odd pairing. And I appreciate that you've been 10 talking about VRA districts. And I agree with the 11 previous caller that the San Fernando Valley, which is 12 home to over 760,000 Latinos, deserve two Assembly 13 districts. We've submitted a simple four-district swap 14 that could just be plugged right in that creates two 15 districts for Latinos that are over fifty percent CVAP. 16 But that being said, if you're making no changes at all 17 to the San Fernando Valley, we're happy with that, too. 18 So just keep walking. Thank you for all you're doing, 19 and I'll talk to you later. 20 MR. MANOFF: Thank you. Up next, we've got caller 21 9006. Please follow the prompts to unmute. Go ahead. 2.2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. 23 (In Spanish, not translated)

other raised hands, we are going to try folks who have

MR. MANOFF: Gracias. And now as we do not have any

24

1 not yet spoken. Up first, we're going to try caller 2 1461. And after that we're going to try caller 4047. 3 Caller 1461, if you'd like to give comment, please press star six to unmute. Again, that's caller with the last four digits 1461. Please follow the prompts to 5 6 unmute. 7 Commissioner Fernandez, could you please invite caller 1461 to unmute by pressing star six? 8 9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. 10 (In Spanish, not translated) 11 MR. MANOFF: Caller 1461, thank you so much for 12 listening. Next up, we're going to try caller 4047. 13 can now unmute by pressing star six. Again, Commissioner 14 Fernandez, could you please invite caller 4047 to unmute 15 by pressing star six? 16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: 17 (In Spanish, not translated) MR. MANOFF: Caller 4047, thank you for listening 18 19 tonight. And next up we'll try caller 4 -- or, I'm 20 sorry -- caller 5273. You can unmute by pressing star 21 six. I want to thank you also for listening tonight. 22 And we're going to retry caller 7258. 23 Caller 7258, if you'd like to give comment, please 24 press star six. All right. We want to thank you for 25 listening as well. And again, if you are unable to

1 connect with us tonight over phone, you can contact the 2 Commission in a variety of other ways. 3 Chair, the queue is clear. TEMPORARY CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Kristian, for 4 the excellent job you and your team always do. 5 Thank you 6 to all of the callers that's called in today to give us 7 additional public comment and your community of interest. We thank you for your time. I'd like to thank all 8 9 Commissioners. And actually, I'm going to -- our Chair 10 is back in. Do you have --11 CHAIR TOLEDO: I think you're doing a great job. 12 And so we'll see each other bright and early tomorrow at 13 the time allotted. And we'll be reevaluating our 14 schedule tonight based on our first day of 15 visualizations, and we'll try to continue to move in this 16 process. Of course, we need to get to a decision point 17 and move forward more efficiently. And I know it's hard 18 because this is important work, and we all are committed 19 to fair maps. And so thank you to the public. Thank you 20 to everyone, and we will see each tomorrow. This meeting 21 is in recess. 22 23 (Whereupon, the Citizens Redistricting 24 Commission (CRC) Meeting adjourned at 6:55 25 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 21st day of December, 2021.

PETER PETTY, Court Reporter

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

LORI RAHTES, CDLT-108

December 21, 2021